

# Fixed point theorems for self maps on 4-dimensional ball metric spaces and extension to $n -$ dimensional ( $n \geq 4$ ) ball metric spaces

S. Ravi Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Ch. Srinivasa Rao<sup>1</sup>, K.K.M. Sarma<sup>2</sup> and Laxmi Rathour<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: sangamravi4u@gamil.com (Corresponding author)

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: drcsr41@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Email: sarmakmkandala@yahoo.in

<sup>3</sup>Email: laxmirathour817@gmail.com

<sup>1,2</sup>Mathematics Department, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, India - 530003.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, National institute of Technology, Chaltlang, Aizawl, Mizoram, India - 796012.

## Abstract

Metric spaces are generalized to three variables and are termed as  $S$  - metric spaces, which in turn are extended to four variables and are termed as  $B_4$  - metric spaces. Now we extend this notion to  $n$  - variables ( $n \geq 4$ ), which we name them as  $B_n$  - metric spaces. We study novel contractive mappings on  $B_n$  - metric spaces. In this paper, we obtain a fixed point theorem for a self map on a 4-dimensional ball metric spaces and also obtain a fixed point theorem for a self map on a  $n$  - dimensional ( $n \geq 4$ ) ball metric spaces generalize known results in fixed point theorems on metric spaces.

**Keywords:**  $B_4$  - metric spaces,  $B_n$  - metric spaces, 4 - dimensional ball metric spaces,  $n$  - dimensional ( $n \geq 4$ ) ball metric spaces, Fixed point theorems.

## 1. Introduction

$B_4$  - metric space is introduced in [1] as an extension of  $S$  - metric space [6] (S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, A. Aliouche). Further  $B_n$  - metric space ( $n \geq 4$ ) is introduced in [2]. Fixed point results are established in [3] and [4]. In this paper a  $B_4$  - metric space is renamed as 4 - dimensional ball metric space and  $B_n$  - metric space ( $n \geq 4$ ) is renamed as  $n$  - dimensional ball metric space. This nomenclature is in conjunction with rectangular  $S$  - metric spaces [5]. We establish a fixed point theorem for a self-map on a 4 - dimensional ball metric space and obtain applications. We also obtain a fixed point theorem for a self map on a  $n$  - dimensional ball metric space and obtain applications. Examples of the above spaces can be found in [3] and [4].

However, we provide some more examples in this paper.

Extensions of the contraction principles can also be found in ([7] - [20]) to cite a few.

## 2. Preliminaries

### For 4-dimensional ball metric spaces:

**2.1 Definition:** [1] Let  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$  and  $B_4: \Omega^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfy the following axioms: for all  $\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \varsigma_3, \varsigma_4, \alpha \in \Omega$

(i)  $B_4(\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \varsigma_3, \varsigma_4) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \varsigma_1 = \varsigma_2 = \varsigma_3 = \varsigma_4$

(ii)  $B_4(\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \varsigma_3, \varsigma_4) \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(\varsigma_1, \varsigma_1, \varsigma_1, \alpha) + B_4(\varsigma_2, \varsigma_2, \varsigma_2, \alpha) \\ + B_4(\varsigma_3, \varsigma_3, \varsigma_3, \alpha) + B_4(\varsigma_4, \varsigma_4, \varsigma_4, \alpha) \end{array} \right\}$

Then we say that  $B_4$  is a 4 - dimensional ball metric on  $\Omega$  and the pair  $(\Omega, B_4)$  is a 4 - dimensional ball metric space.

Various examples of 4 - dimensional ball metric spaces can be found in [1].

The following are some more examples.

**2.2 Example:** Suppose  $\Omega = N \cup \{0\}$  and define  $B_4: \Omega^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  by

$$B_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \zeta_4 \\ \zeta_1^2 + \zeta_2^2 + \zeta_3^2 + \zeta_4^2, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then  $(\Omega, B_4)$  is a 4 – dimensional ball metric space.

**2.3 Example:** Let  $\Omega = N \cup \{0\}$  and define  $B_4: \Omega^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  by

$$B_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \zeta_4 \\ \zeta_1 + \zeta_2 + \zeta_3 + \zeta_4, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then  $(\Omega, B_4)$  is a 4 – dimensional ball metric space

**2.4 Example:** Let  $\Omega = N \cup \{0\}, \lambda > 0$ . Define  $B_4: \Omega^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$

$$\text{by } B_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \zeta_4 \\ \lambda, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4 \in \Omega$ .

Then  $(\Omega, B_4)$  is a 4 – dimensional ball metric space.

The definition of Convergence, Cauchy sequence, and completeness in a 4 -dimensional ball metric space can be found in [1].

We now state a few lemmas, which we use in our further development.

**2.5 Lemma:** [1] Let  $(\Omega, B_4)$  be a 4 – dimensional ball metric space.

Then  $B_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_2, \zeta_2) = B_4(\zeta_2, \zeta_2, \zeta_2, \zeta_1)$ , for all  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \Omega$ .

**2.6 Lemma:** [1]  $\zeta_m \rightarrow \zeta \Leftrightarrow B_4(\zeta, \zeta, \zeta, \zeta_m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$

**2.7 Lemma:** [1]  $\zeta_m \rightarrow \eta_1, \zeta_m \rightarrow \eta_2 \Rightarrow \eta_1 = \eta_2$ .

**2.8 Lemma:** [1]  $\zeta_m \rightarrow \zeta \Rightarrow \{\zeta_m\}$  is a Cauchy Sequence.

### **For n -dimensional ball metric spaces:**

Suppose  $n(\geq 4)$  is a positive integer.

**2.9 Definition** [2] Let  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$  and  $B_n: \Omega^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the following axioms: for all  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n, \alpha \in \Omega$

$$(i) B_n(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \dots = \zeta_n,$$

$$(ii) B_n(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n) \leq B_n(\zeta_1, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_1, \alpha) + B_n(\zeta_2, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_2, \alpha) + \dots + B_n(\zeta_n, \zeta_n, \dots, \zeta_n, \alpha)$$

Then we say that  $B_n$  is a  $n$  – dimensional ball metric on  $\Omega$  and  $(\Omega, B_n)$  is a  $n$  – dimensional ball metric space.

Clearly, this definition extends a 4 – dimensional ball metric space to  $n$  dimensional ball metric space, for  $n \geq 4$ .

Various examples of  $n$  – dimensional ball metric spaces can be found in [2]. The following are some more examples.

**2.10 Example:** Suppose  $\Omega = N \cup \{0\}$ . Define  $B_n: \Omega^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  by

$$B_n(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \dots = \zeta_n \\ \zeta_1^2 + \zeta_2^2 + \dots + \zeta_n^2, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then  $(\Omega, B_n)$  is a  $n$  – dimensional ball metric space.

**2.11 Example:** Suppose  $\Omega = N \cup \{0\}$ . Define  $B_n: \Omega^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  by

$$B_n(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \dots = \zeta_n \\ \zeta_1 + \zeta_2 + \dots + \zeta_n, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then  $(\Omega, B_n)$  is a  $n - dimensional$  ball metric space.

**2.12 Example:** Suppose  $\Omega = N \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ . Define  $B_n: \Omega^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  by

$$B_n(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \dots = \zeta_n \\ \lambda, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then  $(\Omega, B_n)$  is a  $n - dimensional$  ball metric space.

The definition of Convergence, Cauchy sequence, and completeness in a  $n - dimensional$  ball metric space can be found in [2].

We now state a few lemmas, which we use in our further development.

**2.13 Lemma:** [2] *Let  $(\Omega, B_n)$  be a  $n - dimensional$  ball metric space.*

$$\text{Then } B_n(\underbrace{\zeta_1, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_1}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta_2) = B_n(\underbrace{\zeta_2, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_2}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta_1), \text{ for all } \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \Omega.$$

**2.14 Lemma:** [2]  $\zeta_m \rightarrow \zeta$  if and only if  $B_n(\underbrace{\zeta, \zeta, \dots, \zeta}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta_m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 3. Main result for 4 - dimensional ball metric spaces

First, we prove the following Lemma and use it in our main result.

**3.1 Lemma:** *Let  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$  and  $B_4: \Omega^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  be a 4 - dimensional ball metric space on  $\Omega$ .*

*Then  $B_4(\zeta, \beta, \beta, \beta) \leq B_4(\zeta, \gamma, \gamma, \gamma) + 3B_4(\gamma, \beta, \beta, \beta)$ , for all  $\zeta, \beta, \gamma, \alpha \in \Omega$*

**Proof:** Suppose  $(\Omega, B_4)$  is a 4 - dimensional ball metric space.

Replacing  $\gamma\zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4$  by  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  by  $\gamma$  in definition 6.2.1 (ii), we get,

$$B_4(\zeta, \beta, \beta, \beta) \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(\zeta, \zeta, \zeta, \gamma) + B_4(\beta, \beta, \beta, \gamma) \\ + B_4(\beta, \beta, \beta, \gamma) + B_4(\beta, \beta, \beta, \gamma) \end{array} \right\}$$

Therefore  $B_4(\zeta, \beta, \beta, \beta) \leq B_4(\zeta, \zeta, \zeta, \gamma) + 3 B_4(\beta, \beta, \beta, \gamma)$

Therefore  $B_4(\zeta, \beta, \beta, \beta) \leq B_4(\zeta, \gamma, \gamma, \gamma) + 3 B_4(\gamma, \beta, \beta, \beta)$  (by Lemma 6.2.5)

Now we state and prove our main theorem on 4-dimensional ball metric spaces.

**3.2 Theorem:** *Suppose  $(\Omega, B_4)$  is a complete 4-dimensional ball metric space and  $T: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  is a map. Suppose  $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{3}$  is such that for  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4 \in \Omega$ ,*

$$B_4(T\zeta_1, T\zeta_2, T\zeta_3, T\zeta_4) \leq kB_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4) \quad (3.2.1)$$

*Then  $T$  has only one fixed point.*

**Proof:** For  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \Omega$ , from (3.2.1), we have

$$B_4(T\zeta_1, T\zeta_2, T\zeta_2, T\zeta_2) \leq kB_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_2, \zeta_2)$$

Let  $\zeta_0 \in \Omega$ . Define the sequence  $\{\zeta_n\}$  by  $\zeta_n = T^n \zeta_0$ , for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Then clearly  $\zeta_{n+1} = T^n \zeta_n$ .

$$B_4(\zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1}) = B_4(T\zeta_{n-1}, T\zeta_{n-1}, T\zeta_{n-1}, T\zeta_n) \leq kB_4(\zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n)$$

$$\text{Write } s_n = B_4(\zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1})$$

$$\text{We have } s_n \leq ks_{n-1} \leq k^2s_{n-2}$$

$$\text{Therefore } s_n \leq k^n s_0 \text{ for all } n \in N. \quad (3.2.2)$$

Suppose  $m > n$

This shows that  $s_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

By using of (ii) of Definition 2.1,

for  $\zeta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+2}, \dots, \zeta_{m-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_4(\zeta_n, \zeta_m, \zeta_m, \zeta_m) &\leq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(\zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1}) + B_4(\zeta_m, \zeta_m, \zeta_m, \zeta_{n+1}) \\ + B_4(\zeta_m, \zeta_m, \zeta_m, \zeta_{n+1}) + B_4(\zeta_m, \zeta_m, \zeta_m, \zeta_{n+1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= B_4(\zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1}) + 3B_4(\zeta_m, \zeta_m, \zeta_m, \zeta_{n+1}) \\ &= s_n + 3B_4(\zeta_m, \zeta_m, \zeta_m, \zeta_{n+1}) \\ &\leq s_n + 3s_{n+1} + 3^2B_4(\zeta_m, \zeta_m, \zeta_m, \zeta_{n+2}) \leq s_n + 3s_{n+1} + 3^2s_{n+2} + 3^3B_4(\zeta_m, \zeta_m, \zeta_m, \zeta_{n+3}) \\ &\leq s_n + 3s_{n+1} + 3^2s_{n+2} + 3^3s_{n+3} + \dots + 3^{m-n-1}s_{m-1} \text{ (b y lemma 3.1)} \quad (3.2.4) \end{aligned}$$

From (3.2.3) and (3.2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &B_4(\zeta_n, \zeta_m, \zeta_m, \zeta_m) \\ &\leq s_n + 3ks_{n+1} + 3^2k^2s_{n+2} + 3^3k^3s_{n+3} + \dots + 3^{m-n-1}k^{m-n-1}s_{m-1} \\ &\leq s_n(1 + 3k + (3k)^2 + \dots + (3k)^{m-n-1}) \\ &= s_n(1 + \lambda + \lambda^2 + \dots + \lambda^{m-n-1}), \text{ where } \lambda = 3k \\ &\leq s_n \left( \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \right) \text{ (since } \lambda < 1, \text{ by hypothesis)} \\ &\leq k^n s_0 \left( \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ since } k < \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\{\zeta_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Since  $\Omega$  is complete, there exists  $\zeta^* \in \Omega$  such that  $\zeta_n \rightarrow \zeta^*$  (3.2.5)

$$\text{Now } B_4(\zeta_{n+1}, T\zeta^*, T\zeta^*, T\zeta^*) = B_4(T\zeta_n, T\zeta^*, T\zeta^*, T\zeta^*)$$

$$\leq kB_4(\zeta_n, \zeta^*, \zeta^*, \zeta^*)$$

$$\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ (by 3.2.5)}$$

Therefore  $\zeta_{n+1} \rightarrow T\zeta^*$

Therefore  $\mathbb{T}\zeta^* = \zeta^*$  (by lemma 2.7)

Therefore  $\zeta^*$  is a fixed point of  $\mathbb{T}$ .

Suppose  $\zeta^{**}$  is a fixed point of  $\mathbb{T}$ .

Then  $B_4(\mathbb{T}\zeta^*, \mathbb{T}\zeta^{**}, \mathbb{T}\zeta^{**}, \mathbb{T}\zeta^{**}) \leq kB_4(\zeta^*, \zeta^{**}, \zeta^{**}, \zeta^{**})$

Therefore  $B_4(\zeta^*, \zeta^{**}, \zeta^{**}, \zeta^{**}) \leq kB_4(\zeta^*, \zeta^{**}, \zeta^{**}, \zeta^{**})$

Therefore  $B_4(\zeta^*, \zeta^{**}, \zeta^{**}, \zeta^{**}) = 0$

Therefore  $\zeta^* = \zeta^{**}$  (by (i) of definition 2.1)

Thus  $\mathbb{T}$  has only one fixed point, namely  $\zeta^*$ .

#### 4. Applications

In this section we obtain applications of the main theorem 3.2

**4.1 Theorem:** Suppose  $(\Omega, B_4)$  is a complete 4-dimensional ball metric space and  $\mathbb{T}: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  is a map. Suppose  $0 \leq k < 0.1$  is such that for  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4 \in \Omega$ .

$$B_4(\mathbb{T}\zeta_1, \mathbb{T}\zeta_2, \mathbb{T}\zeta_3, \mathbb{T}\zeta_4) \leq k \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(\zeta_1, \mathbb{T}\zeta_1, \mathbb{T}\zeta_1, \mathbb{T}\zeta_1) + B_4(\zeta_2, \mathbb{T}\zeta_2, \mathbb{T}\zeta_2, \mathbb{T}\zeta_2) \\ + B_4(\zeta_3, \mathbb{T}\zeta_3, \mathbb{T}\zeta_3, \mathbb{T}\zeta_3) + B_4(\zeta_4, \mathbb{T}\zeta_4, \mathbb{T}\zeta_4, \mathbb{T}\zeta_4) \end{array} \right\} \quad (4.1.1)$$

Then  $\mathbb{T}$  has only one fixed point.

**Proof:** From (4.1.1), taking  $\zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \zeta_4$ , we have

$$B_4(\mathbb{T}\zeta_1, \mathbb{T}\zeta_2, \mathbb{T}\zeta_2, \mathbb{T}\zeta_2) \leq k \{ B_4(\zeta_1, \mathbb{T}\zeta_1, \mathbb{T}\zeta_1, \mathbb{T}\zeta_1) + 3B_4(\zeta_2, \mathbb{T}\zeta_2, \mathbb{T}\zeta_2, \mathbb{T}\zeta_2) \}.$$

Let  $\zeta_0 \in \Omega$  and define the sequence  $\{\zeta_n\}$  by  $\zeta_{n+1} = \mathbb{T}^n \zeta$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

We have  $B_4(\zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1})$

$$\leq k \left( \begin{array}{l} B_4(\zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n) + B_4(\zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n) \\ + B_4(\zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n) + B_4(\zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1}) \end{array} \right)$$

$$\text{so that, } B_4(\zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1}) \leq \frac{3k}{1-k} B_4(\zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n)$$

Since  $\frac{3k}{1-k} < \frac{1}{3}$ , by theorem 3.2, the result follows.

**4.2 Theorem:** Suppose  $(\Omega, B_4)$  is a complete 4 – dimensional ball metric space and  $\mathbb{T}: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  is a map. Suppose the real numbers  $a, b, c, d$  are such that  $0 \leq a < \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $0 \leq b < \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $0 \leq c < \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $0 \leq d < \frac{1}{4}$ . Write  $\delta = \max \left\{ a, \frac{b}{1-b}, \frac{c}{1-c}, \frac{d}{1-d} \right\}$ .

Assume that for  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4 \in \Omega$ ,

$$B_4(\mathbb{T}\zeta_1, \mathbb{T}\zeta_2, \mathbb{T}\zeta_3, \mathbb{T}\zeta_4) \leq \left( \frac{\delta}{4} B_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4) + 3 \frac{\delta}{4} B_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_1, \zeta_1, \mathbb{T}\zeta_1) \right) \quad (4.2.1)$$

Then  $\mathbb{T}$  has only one fixed point.

**Proof:** From (4.2.1).

$$B_4(T\zeta_1, T\zeta_2, T\zeta_2, T\zeta_2) \leq \left( \frac{\delta}{4} B_4(\zeta, \zeta_2, \zeta_2, \zeta_2) + \frac{3\delta}{4} B_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_1, \zeta_1, T\zeta_1) \right)$$

Let  $\zeta_0 \in \Omega$  be and define the sequence  $\{\zeta_n\}$  by  $\zeta_{n+1} = T^n \zeta_0$ .

Then  $B_4(\zeta_{n+1}, \zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_n) = B_4(T\zeta_n, T\zeta_{n-1}, T\zeta_{n-1}, T\zeta_{n-1})$

$$\leq \left( \frac{\delta}{4} B_4(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}) + \frac{3\delta}{4} B_4(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}) \right)$$

$$= \delta B_4(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1})$$

Since  $\delta < \frac{1}{3}$ , by Theorem 3.2, the result follows.

**4.3 Theorem:** Suppose  $(\Omega, B_4)$  is a complete 4 – dimensional ball metric space and  $T: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  is a map. Suppose  $a, b, c, d$  are such that  $0 \leq a < \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $0 \leq b < \frac{1}{5}$ ,  $0 \leq c < \frac{1}{5}$ ,  $0 \leq d < \frac{1}{5}$ . Write  $\delta = \max \left\{ a, \frac{b}{1-b}, \frac{c}{1-c}, \frac{d}{1-d} \right\}$ .

Suppose, for  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4 \in \Omega$

$$B_4(T\zeta_1, T\zeta_2, T\zeta_3, T\zeta_4) \leq \left( \frac{\delta}{4} B_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4) + 3 \frac{\delta}{3} B_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_1, \zeta_1, T\zeta_1) \right) \quad (4.3.1)$$

Then  $T$  has only one fixed point.

**Proof:** From (4.3.1).

$$B_4(T\zeta_1, T\zeta_2, T\zeta_2, T\zeta_2) \leq \left( \frac{\delta}{4} B_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_2, \zeta_2) + \frac{3\delta}{3} B_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_1, \zeta_1, T\zeta_1) \right)$$

Let  $\zeta_0 \in \Omega$  and define the sequence  $\{\zeta_n\}$  by  $\zeta_{n+1} = T\zeta_n$ .

Then  $B_4(\zeta_{n+1}, \zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_n) = B_4(T\zeta_n, T\zeta_{n-1}, T\zeta_{n-1}, T\zeta_{n-1})$

$$\leq \left( \frac{\delta}{4} B_4(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}) + \frac{3\delta}{3} B_4(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}) \right)$$

$$= \frac{5}{4} \delta B_4(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1})$$

Since  $\frac{5}{4} \delta < \frac{1}{3}$ , by Theorem 3.2, the result follows.

## 5. Main result for $n$ - dimensional ( $n \geq 4$ ) ball metric spaces

Some fixed point theorems in  $n$  – dimensional ( $n \geq 4$ ) ball metric spaces are proved in [4].

Now we establish one more fixed point theorem. For this, we first prove the following Lemma.

**5.1 Lemma:** Let  $(\Omega, B_n)$  be a  $n$  – dimensional ball metric spaces. Then, for  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3 \in \Omega$ , we have

$$B_n(\underbrace{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_2}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}) \leq B_n(\underbrace{\zeta_1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3, \dots, \zeta_3}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}) + (n-1) B_n(\underbrace{\zeta_3, \zeta_2, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_2}_{(n-1) \text{ times}})$$

**Proof:** Suppose  $(\Omega, B_n)$  is a  $B_n$ -metric space.

By definition 2.9 (ii),

$$\begin{aligned}
B_n \left( \zeta_1, \underbrace{\zeta_2, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_2}_{(n-1) \text{ times}} \right) &\leq \left\{ \begin{aligned} &B_n \left( \underbrace{\zeta_1, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_1}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta_3 \right) + B_n \left( \underbrace{\zeta_2, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_2}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta_3 \right) \\ &+ B_n \left( \underbrace{\zeta_2, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_2}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta_3 \right) + B_n \left( \underbrace{\zeta_2, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_2}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta_3 \right) \end{aligned} \right\} \\
B_n \left( \zeta_1, \underbrace{\zeta_2, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_2}_{(n-1) \text{ times}} \right) &\leq B_n \left( \underbrace{\zeta_1, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_1}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta_3 \right) + (n-1) B_n \left( \underbrace{\zeta_2, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_2}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta_3 \right) \\
&= B_n \left( \underbrace{\zeta_1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3, \dots, \zeta_3}_{(n-1) \text{ times}} \right) + (n-1) B_n \left( \underbrace{\zeta_3, \zeta_2, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_2}_{(n-1) \text{ times}} \right), \text{ (by Lemma 2.13)}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we state and prove our primary result in  $n - \text{dimensional}$  ( $n \geq 4$ ) ball metric spaces.

**5.2 Theorem:** Suppose  $(\Omega, B_n)$  is a complete  $n$ -dimensional ball metric space and  $T: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  is a map. Suppose  $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{(n-1)}$ . Assume that for  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n \in \Omega$ .

$$B_n(T\zeta_1, T\zeta_2, \dots, T\zeta_n) \leq kB_n(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n) \quad (5.2.1)$$

Then  $T$  has only one fixed point.

**Proof:** For  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \Omega$ , from (5.2.1) we have

$$B_n(T\zeta_1, \underbrace{T\zeta_2, T\zeta_2, \dots, T\zeta_2}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}) \leq kB_n(\zeta_1, \underbrace{\zeta_2, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_2}_{(n-1) \text{ times}})$$

Let  $\zeta^0 \in \Omega$ . Define the sequence  $\{\zeta^m\}$  by  $\zeta^m = T^m \zeta^0$ , for  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Then clearly  $\zeta^{m+1} = T\zeta^m$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
B_n(\zeta^{m+1}, \underbrace{\zeta^m, \zeta^m, \dots, \zeta^m}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}) &= B_n(T\zeta^m, \underbrace{T\zeta^{m-1}, T\zeta^{m-1}, \dots, T\zeta^{m-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}) \\
&\leq kB_n(\zeta^m, \underbrace{\zeta^{m-1}, \zeta^{m-1}, \dots, \zeta^{m-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}) \quad (5.2.2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Write } \alpha_m = B_n(\zeta^{m+1}, \underbrace{\zeta^m, \zeta^m, \dots, \zeta^m}_{(n-1) \text{ times}})$$

$$\text{Then } \alpha_m = B_n \left( \underbrace{\zeta^{m+1}, \zeta^{m+1}, \dots, \zeta^{m+1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta^m \right) \text{ (by Lemma 2.13)}$$

We have  $\alpha_m \leq k \alpha_{m-1}$  (by (5.2.2))

Now by induction follows that  $\alpha_m \leq k^m \alpha_0$  for all  $m \in N$ ,

This shows that  $\alpha_m \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$

Suppose  $p$  is a positive integer.

Then using (ii) of Definition 2.10 and Lemma (2.15), we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
& B_n(\underbrace{\zeta^{m+p}, \zeta^m, \zeta^m, \dots, \zeta^m}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}) = B_n(\underbrace{\zeta^m, \zeta^{m+p}, \zeta^{m+p}, \dots, \zeta^{m+p}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}) \\
& \leq B_n\left(\underbrace{\zeta^m, \zeta^m, \dots, \zeta^m}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta^{m+1}\right) + \underbrace{B_n\left(\underbrace{\zeta^{m+p}, \zeta^{m+p}, \dots, \zeta^{m+p}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta^{m+1}\right) + \dots + B_n\left(\underbrace{\zeta^{m+p}, \zeta^{m+p}, \dots, \zeta^{m+p}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta^{m+1}\right)}_{(n-1) \text{ terms}} \\
& = \alpha_m + (n-1)B_n(\underbrace{\zeta^{m+p}, \zeta^{m+p}, \dots, \zeta^{m+p}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta^{m+1}) \\
& = \alpha_m + (n-1)B_n(\underbrace{\zeta^{m+1}, \zeta^{m+1}, \dots, \zeta^{m+1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta^{m+p}) \\
& \leq \alpha_m + (n-1)(\alpha_{m+1} + (n-1)B_n(\zeta^{m+2}, \zeta^{m+2}, \dots, \zeta^{m+p})) \text{ (by Lemma 5.1)} \\
& \leq \alpha_m + (n-1)\alpha_{m+1} + (n-1)^2 B_n(\zeta^{m+2}, \zeta^{m+2}, \dots, \zeta^{m+p}) \\
& \leq \alpha_m + (n-1)\alpha_{m+1} + (n-1)^2\alpha_{m+2} + (n-1)^3\alpha_{m+3} + \dots + (n-1)\alpha_{m+p-1} \\
& \leq \alpha_m + k(n-1)\alpha_m + k^2(n-1)^2\alpha_m + \dots + k^{m+p-1}(n-1)^{m+p-1}\alpha_m \\
& = \alpha_m(1 + k(n-1) + (k(n-1))^2 + \dots + (k(n-1))^{p-1}) \\
& \leq k^m \alpha_0(1 + k(n-1) + (k(n-1))^2 + \dots + (k(n-1))^{p-1}) \\
& \leq k^m \alpha_0 \left(\frac{1}{1-k(n-1)}\right), \text{ since } k(n-1) < 1 \\
& \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\{\zeta^m\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Since  $\Omega$  is complete, there exists  $\zeta^* \in \Omega$  such that  $\zeta^m \rightarrow \zeta^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Now } B_n(\zeta^{m+1}, \mathbb{T}\zeta^*, \dots, \mathbb{T}\zeta^*) &= B_n(\mathbb{T}\zeta^m, \mathbb{T}\zeta^*, \dots, \mathbb{T}\zeta^*) \\
&\leq k B_n(\zeta^m, \zeta^*, \dots, \zeta^*) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\zeta^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}\zeta^*$

Therefore  $\mathbb{T}\zeta^* = \zeta^*$  (by Lemma 2.7)

Therefore  $\zeta^*$  is a fixed point of  $\mathbb{T}$ .

Suppose  $\zeta^{**}$  is a fixed point of  $\mathbb{T}$ .

$$\text{Then } B_n(\mathbb{T}\zeta^*, \mathbb{T}\zeta^{**}, \dots, \mathbb{T}\zeta^{**}) \leq k B_n(\zeta^*, \zeta^{**}, \dots, \zeta^{**})$$

$$\text{Therefore } B_n(\zeta^*, \zeta^{**}, \dots, \zeta^{**}) \leq k B_n(\zeta^*, \zeta^{**}, \dots, \zeta^{**})$$

$$\text{Therefore } B_n(\zeta^*, \zeta^{**}, \dots, \zeta^{**}) = 0$$

Therefore  $\zeta^* = \zeta^{**}$

Thus  $\mathbb{T}$  has only one fixed point, namely  $\zeta^*$ .

## 6. Applications

In this section, we give three applications of Theorem 5.2

**6.1 Theorem:** Suppose  $(\Omega, B_n)$  is a complete  $n$ -dimensional ball metric space and  $T: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  is a map. Suppose  $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{(n-1)^2+1}$ .

Assume that for  $\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^m \in \Omega$ .

$$B_n(T\zeta^1, T\zeta^2, \dots, T\zeta^n) \leq k \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_n(\zeta^1, T\zeta^1, \dots, T\zeta^1) + \\ B_n(\zeta^2, T\zeta^2, \dots, T\zeta^2) \\ + \dots + \\ B_n(\zeta^n, T\zeta^n, \dots, T\zeta^n) \end{array} \right\} \quad (6.1.1)$$

Then  $T$  has only one fixed point.

**Proof:** From (6.1.1),

$$B_n(T\zeta^1, T\zeta^2, \dots, T\zeta^2) \leq k\{B_n(\zeta^1, T\zeta^1, \dots, T\zeta^1) + (n-1)B_n(\zeta^2, T\zeta^2, \dots, T\zeta^2)\}$$

Let  $\zeta^0 \in \Omega$  and define the sequence  $\{\zeta^m\}$  by  $\zeta^{m+1} = T\zeta^m$  for  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

We have  $B_n(\zeta^m, \zeta^m, \dots, \zeta^m, \zeta^{m+1})$

$$\leq k \left( \begin{array}{l} B_n(\zeta^{m-1}, \zeta^{m-1}, \dots, \zeta^{m-1}, \zeta^m) + B_n(\zeta^{m-1}, \zeta^{m-1}, \dots, \zeta^{m-1}, \zeta^m) \\ + \dots + B_n(\zeta^{m-1}, \zeta^{m-1}, \dots, \zeta^{m-1}, \zeta^m) + B_n(\zeta^m, \zeta^m, \dots, \zeta^m, \zeta^{m+1}) \end{array} \right)$$

$$\text{so that } B_n \left( \underbrace{\zeta^m, \zeta^m, \dots, \zeta^m}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta^{m+1} \right) \leq \frac{(n-1)k}{1-k} B_n \left( \underbrace{\zeta^{m-1}, \zeta^{m-1}, \dots, \zeta^{m-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta^m \right)$$

Since  $\frac{(n-1)k}{1-k} < \frac{1}{(n-1)}$ , by Theorem 5.2, the result follows.

**6.2 Theorem:** Suppose  $(\Omega, B_n)$  is a complete  $n$ -dimensional ball metric space and  $T: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  is a map. Suppose  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are such that  $0 \leq a_1 < \frac{1}{(n-1)}$ ,  $0 \leq a_2 < \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $0 \leq a_n < \frac{1}{n}$ . With  $\delta = \max \left\{ a_1, \frac{a_2}{1-a_2}, \frac{a_3}{1-a_3}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{1-a_n} \right\}$ .

Assume that for  $\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^n \in \Omega$ ,

$$B_n(T\zeta^1, T\zeta^2, \dots, T\zeta^m) \leq \left( \frac{\delta}{n} B_n(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^n) + (n-1) \frac{\delta}{n} B_n(\zeta^1, \zeta^1, \dots, \zeta^1, T\zeta^1) \right) \quad (6.2.1)$$

Then  $T$  has only one fixed point.

**Proof:** From (6.2.1).

$$B_n(T\zeta^1, T\zeta^2, \dots, T\zeta^2) \leq \left( \frac{\delta}{n} B_n(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^2) + \frac{(n-1)\delta}{n} B_n(\zeta^1, \zeta^1, \dots, \zeta^1, T\zeta^1) \right)$$

Let  $\zeta^0 \in \Omega$  and define the sequence  $\{\zeta^m\}$  by  $\zeta^{m+1} = T\zeta^m$ .

Then  $B_n(\zeta^{m+1}, \zeta^m, \dots, \zeta^m, \zeta^m) = B_n(T\zeta^m, T\zeta^{m-1}, \dots, T\zeta^{m-1}, T\zeta^{m-1})$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left( \frac{\delta}{n} B_n(\zeta^m, \zeta^{m-1}, \dots, \zeta^{m-1}) + \frac{(n-1)\delta}{n} B_n(\zeta^m, \zeta^{m-1}, \dots, \zeta^{m-1}) \right) \\ &= \delta B_n(\zeta^m, \zeta^{m-1}, \dots, \zeta^{m-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\delta < \frac{1}{(n-1)}$ , by Theorem 5.2, the result follows.

**6.3 Theorem:** Suppose  $(\Omega, B_n)$  is a complete  $n - dimensional$  ball metric space and  $\mathbb{T}:\Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  is a map. Suppose  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are such that  $0 \leq a_1 < \frac{1}{n}, 0 \leq a_2 < \frac{1}{(n+1)}, \dots, 0 \leq a_n < \frac{1}{(n+1)}$ .

Write  $\delta = \max \left\{ a_1, \frac{a_2}{1-a_2}, \frac{a_3}{1-a_3}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{1-a_n} \right\}$ .

Assume that for  $\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^n \in \Omega$ ,

$$B_n(\mathbb{T}\zeta^1, \mathbb{T}\zeta^2, \dots, \mathbb{T}\zeta^n) \leq \left( \frac{\delta}{n} B_n(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^n) + \frac{(n-1)\delta}{(n-1)} B_n(\zeta^1, \zeta^1, \dots, \zeta^1, \mathbb{T}\zeta^1) \right) \quad (6.3.1)$$

Then  $\mathbb{T}$  has only one fixed point.

**Proof:** From (6.3.1),

$$B_n(\mathbb{T}\zeta^1, \mathbb{T}\zeta^2, \dots, \mathbb{T}\zeta^2) \leq \left( \frac{\delta}{n} B_n(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^2, \zeta^2) + \frac{(n-1)\delta}{n} B_n(\zeta^1, \zeta^1, \dots, \zeta^1, \mathbb{T}\zeta^1) \right)$$

Let  $\zeta^0 \in \Omega$  and define the sequence  $\{\zeta^m\}$  by  $\zeta^{m+1} = \mathbb{T}\zeta^m$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } B_n \left( \zeta^{m+1}, \underbrace{\zeta^m, \zeta^m, \dots, \zeta^m}_{(n-1) \text{ times}} \right) &= B_n \left( \mathbb{T}\zeta^m, \underbrace{\mathbb{T}\zeta^{m-1}, \mathbb{T}\zeta^{m-1}, \dots, \mathbb{T}\zeta^{m-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}} \right) \\ &\leq \left( \frac{\delta}{n} B_n(\zeta^m, \zeta^{m-1}, \dots, \zeta^{m-1}, \zeta^{m-1}) + \frac{(n-1)\delta}{(n-1)} B_n(\zeta^m, \zeta^{m-1}, \dots, \zeta^{m-1}, \zeta^{m-1}) \right) \\ &= \frac{(n+1)\delta}{n} B_n(\zeta^m, \zeta^{m-1}, \dots, \zeta^{m-1}, \zeta^{m-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{(n+1)\delta}{n} < \frac{1}{(n-1)}$ , by Theorem 5.2, the result follows.

## 7. Conclusion

Some fixed point results in 4-dimensional ball metric spaces are established and applications are obtained. We also obtain fixed point results in  $n - dimensional$  ( $n \geq 4$ ) ball metric spaces. Applications to fixed point results are shown.

## 8. References

- [1] K.K.M. Sarma, Ch. Srinivasa Rao and S. Ravi Kumar.:  $B_4$  - metric spaces and Contractions, International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications 13(1): (2023), 43-50.
- [2] Ch. Srinivasa Rao, S. Ravi Kumar and K.K.M. Sarma.: Contractive mappings on  $B_n$ -metric spaces, Eur. Chem. Bull,12(5): 2023, 5399-5412.
- [3] Ch. Srinivasa Rao, S. Ravi Kumar and K.K.M. Sarma.: Fixed point theorems on  $B_4$  - metric spaces, Eur. Chem. Bull,12(7): 2023, 1020-1039.
- [4] Ch. Srinivasa Rao, S. Ravi Kumar and K.K.M. Sarma.:  $B_n$  - metric spaces and Fixed point theorems, Submitted for publication.
- [5] O.K. Adewale and C. Iluno.: Fixed point theorems on rectangular  $S$  metric spaces, Scientific African 16: (2022) e01202.

- [6] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, A. Aliouche.: A generalization of Fixed point theorem in  $S$ -metric spaces, *Mat. Vesn.* 64: (2012) 258-266.
- [7] Ciric B. Lj. A generalization of Banach's contraction principle.: *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 45(2): (1974), 267-273. [8] Nihal Yilmaz Ozgur, Nihal Tas.: Some generalizations of fixed point theorems on  $S$ -metric spaces. *Essays in Mathematics and Its Applications in Honor of Vladimir Arnold*, New York, (2016), Springer.
- [9] Nihal Yilmaz Ozgur, Nihal Tas.: Some new contractive mappings on  $S$ -metric Spaces and their relationships with the mapping (S25). *Springer* 11: (2017), 7 -16.
- [10] Sedghi, S., Dung, N.V.: Fixed point theorems on  $S$ -metric spaces. *Mat. Vesnik* 66(1): (2014), 3-124. [11] Aghajani, A., Abbas, M., Roshan, J.R.: Common fixed point of generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered  $b$ -metric spaces. *Math. Slovaca* 64 (4): (2014), 940 - 960.
- [12] An, T.V., Dung, N.V., Hang, V.T.L.: A new approach to fixed point theorems on  $G$ -metric spaces. *Topol. Appl.* 160(7): (2013), 1486-1493.
- [13] Bailey, D.F.: Some Theorems on contractive mappings. *J. London Math. Soc.* 41: (1996), 101-106.
- [14] Chang, S.S., Zhong, Q.C.: On Rhoades' open questions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 109(1): (1990), 269-274.
- [15] Gupta, A.: Cyclic contraction on  $S$ -metric space. *Int. J. Anal. Appl.* 3(2): (2013), 119-130.
- [16] Dung, N.V., Hieu, N.T., Radojevic, S.: Fixed point theorems for  $g$  monotone maps on partially ordered  $S$ -metric spaces. *Filomat* 28(9): (2014), 1885-1898.
- [17] Fisher, B.: Quasi-contractions on metric spaces. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 75(2): (1979), 321 – 325.
- [18] Gupta, V., Deep, R.: Some coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered  $S$ -metric spaces. *Miskolc Math. Notes* 16(1): (2015), 181-194.
- [19] Hieu, N.T., Ly, N.T., Dung, N.V.: A Generalization of Ciric Quasi Contractions for Maps on  $S$ -Metric Spaces. *Thai J. Math.* 13(2): (2015), 369380.
- [20] Rhoades, B.E.: A Comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 226: (1977), 257-290.