



On The Algebraic Properties of l -Congruencies in Groups

Lee Xu

University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, CAS, Mathematics Department, Beijing, China

Email: Leexu1244@yahoo.com

Abstract

This paper is dedicated to defining and studying the concept of congruencies in l -groups, where we prove the following main results:

- 1) If θ is a congruence relation on l -group G , then $\frac{G}{\theta}$ is l -group.
- 2) If $\frac{G}{\theta}$ is l -group, then for $\frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta}$ belongs to $\frac{G}{\theta}$ holds:
 x, y are equivalent (mod θ) if and only if $\frac{x \wedge y}{\theta}, \frac{x \vee y}{\theta}$ are equivalent.

Also, we illustrate many examples to clarify the validity of our work.

Keywords: Group; l -Congruence; order relation; Lattice-ordered group.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The concept of lattice-ordered group is an interesting algebraic concept that concerns groups and lattices.

Lattice-ordered group was studied by Dedekind in [1], and then it was studied by Levi [2].

Birkhoff dealt with l -groups, l -subgroups, and l -ideals [3].

In the literature, many researchers have studied the congruencies lattices on l -abelian groups, see [5-6].

In [7], we find the following definition: If $G \neq \emptyset$ is a non-empty set, with $+: G \times G \rightarrow G$, and an order relation $(\leq)$, G is called a partially ordered group (PO-group) if:

- 1- $(G, +)$ is a group.
- 2- $(G, \leq)$ is a partially ordered set.
- 3- $\forall x, y, z \in G$, then:

$$\{x \leq y \Rightarrow x + z \leq y + z \quad (M_1)$$

$$\{x \leq y \Rightarrow z + x \leq z + y \quad (M_2)$$

Definition: [7]:

Let G be a PO-group, then if $(G, \leq)$ is a lattice, then G is called a lattice-ordered group or (l -group). We denote it by $(G, +, \leq, \vee, \wedge)$.

Definition: [8]:

Let A, B be two lattices, with $f: A \rightarrow B$, then:

- 1) f is called ordering mapping if:
 $\forall x, y \in G; x \leq y \Rightarrow f(x) \leq f(y)$.
- 2) f is called anti-ordering mapping if:
 $\forall x, y \in A; x \leq y \Rightarrow f(y) \geq f(x)$.

Definition: [8]:

Let G, H be two l -groups, $f: G \rightarrow H$ is a mapping, then:

- 1) f is called l -ordering homomorphism if it is a group homomorphism and a lattice ordering homomorphism, i.e.

$$(H_1) f(a + b) = f(a) + f(b)$$

$$(H_2) f(a \vee b) = f(a) \vee f(b)$$

$$(H_3) f(a \wedge b) = f(a) \wedge f(b) \quad \forall a, b \in G$$

- 2) f is called l -anti ordering homomorphism if:

$$(H_1)' f(a + b) = f(a) + f(b)$$

$$(H_2)' f(a \vee b) = f(a) \wedge f(b)$$

$$(H_3)' f(a \wedge b) = f(a) \vee f(b) \quad \forall a, b \in G$$

2. Main discussion:

Definition:

Let $f: G \rightarrow H$ be l -homomorphism, then:

1] If f is a bijective mapping, and f, f^{-1} are ordering mappings, then G, H are called ordered isomorphic groups $G \cong H$.

2] If f is a bijection, and f, f^{-1} are anti-ordering mappings, then G, H are called anti-ordered isomorphic groups $G \cong H$.

Definition:

Let G be l -group, θ is called a congruence relation on G if:

1] θ is an equivalence relation on G .

2] If $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \in G$; $\begin{cases} a_1 \equiv b_1 \pmod{\theta} \\ a_2 \equiv b_2 \pmod{\theta} \end{cases}$, then

$$\begin{cases} a_1 + a_2 = b_1 + b_2 \pmod{\theta} \\ a_1 \vee a_2 = b_1 \vee b_2 \pmod{\theta} \\ a_1 \wedge a_2 = b_1 \wedge b_2 \pmod{\theta} \end{cases}$$

For $a \in G$, we define: $\frac{a}{\theta} = \{x \in G; x \equiv a \pmod{\theta}\}$.

$\frac{G}{\theta}$ denotes to the all classes $\frac{a}{\theta}$ for $a \in G$.

Theorem:

Let G be l -group, θ be a congruence relation on G , we define $(\lesssim)$ on $(\frac{G}{\theta})$ by:

$$\frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y}{\theta} \Leftrightarrow \exists a \in \frac{x}{\theta}, b \in \frac{y}{\theta}; a \leq b; x, y \in G \quad (1)$$

Then $(\lesssim)$ is an order relation on $\frac{G}{\theta}$.

Proof:

$$\text{For } a, b \in G, \text{ then: } a \leq b \Rightarrow \frac{a}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{b}{\theta} \quad (2)$$

$\forall \frac{x}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}$, then $x \in G$ and $x \leq x$, hence $\frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{x}{\theta}$.

Let $\frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta}, \frac{z}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}$; $\frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{z}{\theta}$, hence:

$$\frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y}{\theta} \Rightarrow \exists a \in \frac{x}{\theta}, b \in \frac{y}{\theta}; a \leq b \Rightarrow a \equiv x \pmod{\theta}, b \equiv y \pmod{\theta} \Rightarrow x \wedge y \equiv a \wedge b \equiv a \pmod{\theta}.$$

$$\text{Also, } \frac{y}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{z}{\theta} \Rightarrow \exists c \in \frac{y}{\theta}, d \in \frac{z}{\theta}; c \leq d \Rightarrow c \equiv y \pmod{\theta}, d \equiv z \pmod{\theta} \Rightarrow y \wedge z \equiv c \wedge d \equiv d \pmod{\theta}$$

Thus, $x \wedge y \leq y \vee z \Rightarrow \frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y \vee z}{\theta}$, thus:

$$\frac{a}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{d}{\theta} \Rightarrow \frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{z}{\theta}.$$

Let $\frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}$, $\frac{y}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y}{\theta}$, we have:

$$\frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y}{\theta} \Rightarrow \exists a \in \frac{x}{\theta}, b \in \frac{y}{\theta}; a \leq b \Rightarrow a \equiv x \pmod{\theta}, b \equiv y \pmod{\theta} \Rightarrow x \wedge y \equiv a \wedge b = a \equiv x \pmod{\theta}.$$

$$\frac{y}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{x}{\theta} \Rightarrow \exists c \in \frac{y}{\theta}, d \in \frac{x}{\theta}; c \leq d \Rightarrow c \equiv y \pmod{\theta}, d \equiv x \pmod{\theta} \Rightarrow x \wedge y \equiv c \wedge d = c \equiv y \pmod{\theta}.$$

Hence, $x \equiv x \wedge y \equiv y \pmod{\theta}$, thus $\frac{x}{\theta} = \frac{y}{\theta}$.

Theorem:

Let G be an l -group, θ be a congruence relation on G .

We define $\tilde{+}, \tilde{\vee}, \tilde{\wedge}$ on $\frac{G}{\theta}$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{a}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{b}{\theta} = \frac{a+b}{\theta} \\ \frac{a}{\theta} \tilde{\vee} \frac{b}{\theta} = \frac{a \vee b}{\theta} \\ \frac{a}{\theta} \tilde{\wedge} \frac{b}{\theta} = \frac{a \wedge b}{\theta} \end{cases}$$

$(\frac{G}{\theta}, \lesssim, \tilde{+}, \tilde{\vee}, \tilde{\wedge})$ is l -group.

Proof:

Assume that $\frac{x_1}{\theta}, \frac{x_2}{\theta}, \frac{y_1}{\theta}, \frac{y_2}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}$:

$$(\frac{x_1}{\theta}, \frac{x_2}{\theta}) = (\frac{y_1}{\theta}, \frac{y_2}{\theta}) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{x_1}{\theta} = \frac{y_1}{\theta} \\ \frac{x_2}{\theta} = \frac{y_2}{\theta} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} x_1 \equiv y_1 \pmod{\theta} \\ x_2 \equiv y_2 \pmod{\theta} \\ x_1 + x_2 \equiv y_1 + y_2 \pmod{\theta} \\ \frac{x_1 + x_2}{\theta} \equiv \frac{y_1 + y_2}{\theta} \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow \frac{x_1}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{x_2}{\theta} = \frac{y_1}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{y_2}{\theta}$, this means that $\tilde{+}$ is well defined.

By a similar argument, we prove the same for $\tilde{\wedge}, \tilde{\vee}$.

Now, $\forall \frac{a}{\theta}, \frac{b}{\theta}, \frac{c}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{a}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{b}{\theta}\right) \tilde{+} \frac{c}{\theta} &= \frac{a+b}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{c}{\theta} = \frac{a+b+c}{\theta} = \frac{a}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{b+c}{\theta} = \frac{a}{\theta} \tilde{+} \left(\frac{b}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{c}{\theta}\right) \\ \forall \frac{a}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}, \text{ then } \frac{a}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{e}{\theta} &= \frac{a+e}{\theta} = \frac{a}{\theta} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have:

For $\frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}, x, y \in G, x \leq x \vee y, y \leq x \vee y$, hence

$$\begin{cases} \frac{x}{\theta} \leq \frac{x \vee y}{\theta} \\ \frac{y}{\theta} \leq \frac{x \vee y}{\theta} \end{cases}$$

Thus, $\frac{x \vee y}{\theta}$ is an upper bound of $\frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta}$ with respect to $\leq$.

Assume that $\frac{z}{\theta}$ is another upper bound of $(\frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta})$, then

$$\begin{cases} \frac{x}{\theta} \leq \frac{z}{\theta} \\ \frac{y}{\theta} \leq \frac{z}{\theta} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \exists a \in \frac{x}{\theta}, b \in \frac{z}{\theta}; a \leq b \\ \exists c \in \frac{y}{\theta}, d \in \frac{z}{\theta}; c \leq d \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \begin{cases} a \equiv x \pmod{\theta}, b \equiv z \pmod{\theta}; a \leq b \\ c \equiv y \pmod{\theta}, d \equiv z \pmod{\theta}; c \leq d \\ x \vee y \equiv a \vee c \pmod{\theta}, b \vee d \equiv z \pmod{\theta}; a \vee c \leq b \vee d \\ a \vee c \in \frac{x \vee y}{\theta}, \frac{b \vee d}{\theta} \in \frac{z}{\theta}; a \vee c \leq b \vee d \end{cases} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{x \vee y}{\theta} \leq \frac{z}{\theta}, \text{ which means that } \frac{x \vee y}{\theta} \text{ is the minimal upper bound of } \frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta}, \text{ so that:} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{\vee} \frac{y}{\theta} = \frac{x \vee y}{\theta} = \sup \left\{ \frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta} \right\}$$

By a similar method, we get:

$$\frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{\wedge} \frac{y}{\theta} = \frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} = \inf \left\{ \frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta} \right\}$$

Finally, if $\frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}$, then:

$$\frac{x}{\theta} \leq \frac{y}{\theta} \Rightarrow \frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{z}{\theta} \leq \frac{y}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{z}{\theta} \quad \forall \frac{z}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}$$

Since $\frac{x}{\theta} \leq \frac{y}{\theta} \Rightarrow \exists a \in \frac{x}{\theta}, b \in \frac{y}{\theta}; a \leq b$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} a \equiv x \pmod{\theta} \\ b \equiv y \pmod{\theta}; a \leq b \end{cases}$$

For any $c \in \frac{z}{\theta}, c \in G$, and G is l -group, we get:

$$\begin{cases} a + c \leq b + c \\ \frac{a + c}{\theta} \leq \frac{b + c}{\theta} \\ \frac{a}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{c}{\theta} \leq \frac{b}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{c}{\theta} \\ \frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{z}{\theta} \leq \frac{y}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{z}{\theta} \end{cases}$$

By a similar argument, we get:

$$\frac{x}{\theta} \leq \frac{y}{\theta} \Rightarrow \frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{z}{\theta} \leq \frac{y}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{z}{\theta}; \frac{z}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}, \text{ thus}$$

$(\frac{G}{\theta}, \leq, \tilde{+}, \tilde{\vee}, \tilde{\wedge})$ is l -group.

Theorem:

Let G be l -group, and $(\frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta}) \in \frac{G}{\theta}$ with $\frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y}{\theta}$, then $x \equiv y(mod \theta)$ if and only if $\frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} = \frac{x \vee y}{\theta}$

Proof:

Assume that $x \equiv y(mod \theta)$, then:

$$\begin{cases} x \wedge y \equiv x \wedge x \equiv x(mod \theta) \\ x \vee y \equiv x \vee x \equiv x(mod \theta) \end{cases}$$

Hence, $x \wedge y \equiv x \vee y(mod \theta)$, thus $\frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} = \frac{x \vee y}{\theta}$.

For the converse, assume that $\frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} = \frac{x \vee y}{\theta}$, hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{\wedge} \frac{y}{\theta} &\lesssim \frac{x}{\theta} \leq \frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{\vee} \frac{y}{\theta} \Rightarrow \frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{x \vee y}{\theta} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} &\lesssim \frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} \Rightarrow \frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} = \frac{x}{\theta} \Rightarrow x \wedge y \equiv x(mod \theta) \end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument, we find that $x \wedge y \equiv y(mod \theta)$, thus $x \equiv y(mod \theta)$

Theorem:

Let G be l -group, $\alpha: G \rightarrow \frac{G}{\theta}$; $\alpha(x) = \frac{x}{\theta}$ is an ordering surjective homomorphism.

Proof:

$\forall x, y \in G$, we have:

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha(x \vee y) = \frac{x \vee y}{\theta} = \frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{\vee} \frac{y}{\theta} = \alpha(x) \vee \alpha(y) \\ \alpha(x \wedge y) = \frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} = \frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{\wedge} \frac{y}{\theta} = \alpha(x) \wedge \alpha(y) \\ \alpha(x + y) = \frac{x + y}{\theta} = \frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{y}{\theta} = \alpha(x) + \alpha(y) \end{cases}$$

If $x \leq y$, then, $x \leq y \Rightarrow x = x \wedge y \Rightarrow \alpha(x) = \alpha(x \wedge y) \Rightarrow \frac{x}{\theta} = \frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} = \frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{\wedge} \frac{y}{\theta} \Rightarrow \frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y}{\theta} \Rightarrow \alpha(x) \lesssim \alpha(y)$

Also, for $y = \frac{x}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}$, then $\alpha(x) = \frac{x}{\theta} = y$, hence α is surjective.

Theorem:

Let G_1, G_2 be two l -groups, then every anti-ordering homomorphism $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ defines a congruence relation θ_f on G_1 by the following:

$$x \equiv y(mod \theta_f) \Leftrightarrow f(x) = f(y); x, y \in G_1$$

Proof:

$\forall x \in G_1$, then $f(x) = f(y)$, hence $x \equiv y(mod \theta_f)$

For $x, y \in G_1$, then $x \equiv y(mod \theta_f) \Rightarrow f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow f(y) = f(x) \Rightarrow y \equiv x(mod \theta_f)$.

For $x, y, z \in G_1$, if $\begin{cases} x \equiv y(mod \theta_f) \\ y \equiv z(mod \theta_f) \end{cases}$

We get: $\begin{cases} f(x) = f(y) \\ f(y) = f(z) \end{cases} \Rightarrow f(x) = f(z) \Rightarrow x \equiv z(mod \theta_f)$

Let $x, x_1, y, y_1 \in G_1$, then: $\begin{cases} x \equiv x_1(mod \theta_f) \\ y \equiv y_1(mod \theta_f) \end{cases}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} f(x) = f(x_1) \\ f(y) = f(y_1) \end{cases} &\Rightarrow \begin{cases} f(x) \vee f(y) = f(x_1) \vee f(y_1) \\ f(x \vee y) = f(x_1 \vee y_1) \end{cases} \\ &\Rightarrow x \vee y \equiv x_1 \vee y_1(mod \theta_f) \end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument, we get $\begin{cases} x \wedge y \equiv x_1 \wedge y_1(mod \theta_f) \\ x + y \equiv x_1 + y_1(mod \theta_f) \end{cases}$

Theorem:

Let G_1, G_2 be two l -groups, $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ be an anti-ordering homomorphism, then $\frac{G_1}{\theta_f} \cong f(G_1)$

Proof:

We define: $\Psi: \frac{G_1}{\theta_f} \rightarrow f(G_1)$; $\Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f}) = f(x)$.

If $\frac{x}{\theta_f} = \frac{y}{\theta_f} \Rightarrow x \equiv y(mod \theta_f) \Rightarrow f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow \Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f}) = \Psi(\frac{y}{\theta_f})$.

$\forall x, y \in G_1$, then:

$$\Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f} \tilde{\vee} \frac{y}{\theta_f}) = \Psi(\frac{x \vee y}{\theta_f}) = f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y) = \Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f}) \vee \Psi(\frac{y}{\theta_f}).$$

By a similar method, we get $\Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f} \tilde{\wedge} \frac{y}{\theta_f}) = \Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f}) \wedge \Psi(\frac{y}{\theta_f})$.

$$\Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f} \tilde{+} \frac{y}{\theta_f}) = \Psi(\frac{x+y}{\theta_f}) = f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) = \Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f}) + \Psi(\frac{y}{\theta_f}).$$

$$\text{If } \Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f}) = \Psi(\frac{y}{\theta_f}) \Rightarrow f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow x \equiv y \pmod{\theta_f} \Rightarrow \frac{x}{\theta_f} = \frac{y}{\theta_f}.$$

For any $y \in f(G_1)$, there exists $x \in G_1$; $f(y) = y$, thus $\frac{x}{\theta_f} \in \frac{G_1}{\theta_f}$, thus $\Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f}) = f(x) = y$.

Ψ is ordering mapping: for $x, y \in G_1$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x}{\theta_f} \leq \frac{y}{\theta_f} &\Rightarrow \frac{x}{\theta_f} \tilde{\vee} \frac{y}{\theta_f} = \frac{y}{\theta_f} \Rightarrow \frac{x \vee y}{\theta_f} = \frac{y}{\theta_f} \\ &\Rightarrow \begin{cases} x \vee y \equiv y \pmod{\theta_f} \\ f(x \vee y) = f(y) \\ f(x) \vee f(y) = f(y) \\ f(x) \leq f(y) \\ \Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f}) \leq \Psi(\frac{y}{\theta_f}) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The mapping Ψ^{-1} is an ordering mapping, that is because:

If $y_1, y_2 \in f(G_1)$, there exists $x_1, x_2 \in f(G_1)$ such that:

$$\begin{cases} f(x_1) = y_1 \\ f(x_2) = y_2 \end{cases}$$

If $y_1 \leq y_2$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 \leq y_2 &\Rightarrow f(x_1) \leq f(x_2) \Rightarrow f(x_1) \vee f(x_2) \leq f(x_2) \Rightarrow f(x_1 \vee x_2) = f(x_2) \Rightarrow \Psi(\frac{x_1 \vee x_2}{\theta_f}) = \Psi(\frac{x_2}{\theta_f}) \Rightarrow \frac{x_1 \vee x_2}{\theta_f} \\ &= \frac{x_2}{\theta_f} \Rightarrow \frac{x_1}{\theta_f} \tilde{\vee} \frac{x_2}{\theta_f} = \frac{x_2}{\theta_f} \Rightarrow \frac{x_1}{\theta_f} \leq \frac{x_2}{\theta_f} \Rightarrow \Psi^{-1}(f(x_1)) \leq \Psi^{-1}(f(x_2)) \Rightarrow \Psi^{-1}(y_1) \leq \Psi^{-1}(y_2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\frac{G_1}{\theta_f} \cong f(G_1)$.

3. Conclusion

In this paper, we have studied the concept of congruencies in l -groups, where we proved the following main results:

- 1) If θ is a congruence relation on l -group G , then $\frac{G}{\theta}$ is l -group.
- 2) If $\frac{G}{\theta}$ is l -group, then for $\frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}$ holds:
 $x \equiv y \pmod{\theta}$ if and only if $\frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} \equiv \frac{x \vee y}{\theta}$.

In the future, we aim that our results can be transmitted to rings, and semi-groups.

References

- [1] Dedekind, R., (1897). *Ojber Zerlegungen Von Zahlen durcli ihre gr 68sten gemein schaftlichen Teiler*, Ges. Werke, Bd 2, XXVIII6.
- [2] Levi, F; (1913). *Arithmetische Gesetze in Gebiete diskreter Gruppen*, Rend, Palermo, 35, pp.225-236.
- [3] Birkhoff, G., (1942), *lattice-ordered groups*, Annals of Mathematics.
- [4] Birkhoff, G., (1937), *Rings of sets*, Duke Mathematical Journal, pp.443-454.
- [5] Jakubik, J., (2001), *On the Congruence Lattice of an Abelian Lattice ordered Group*. Mathematica Bohemica.
- [6] Ploscica, M; (2021). *Congruence Lattices of Abelian l-Groups*, Vol 33, pp.1651-1658.
- [7] Waaijers, L; (1968), *on the structure of lattice ordered Groups*. Doctoral thesis, Waltman-Delft, Holland.
- [8] Gerberding, J; (2020), *Groups of Divisibility*, Honors thesis, South Dakota, pp.15-17.
- [9] Gratzer, G; (2011), *Lattice theory Foundation*, Springer Science and business Media, p 30.
- [10] Gratzer, G; (2006), *the Congruence of a finite lattice*, Boston, pp. 14-16.