



On 2-Commutative Derivations of Semi-prime Rings

Othman Al-Basheer

Sudan University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Science, Khartoum, Sudan

Email: othmanzolbasheer@gmail.com

Abstract

The main purpose of this paper is to study some results concerning the generalized derivation D defined on semi-prime ring R , we obtain a derivation d which commuting and 2-commuting on R . Also, we present many examples to clarify the validity of our work.

Keywords: ring; semi-prime ring; commutative ring; derivation

1. Introduction

This research has been motivated by [1] and [2]. The history of commuting and centralizing mappings goes back to (1955) when Divinsky [3] proved that a simple Artinian ring is commutative if it has a commuting nontrivial automorphism. Posner in [4] has proved that the existence of a non-zero centralizing derivation on a prime ring forces the ring to be commutative (Posner's second theorem). Luch [5] generalized the Divinsky result, we have just mentioned above, to arbitrary prime ring. Mayne in [6] proved that in case there exists a nontrivial centralizing automorphism on a prime ring, then the ring is commutative (Mayne's theorem). In [7], authors have shown that every semi-commuting automorphism of a prime ring is commuting provided that R has either characteristic different from 3 or non-zero center and thus they proved the commutativity of prime ring having nontrivial semi-commuting automorphism except in the indicated cases. Generalized derivation of operators on various algebraic structures have been an active area of research since the last fifty years due to their usefulness in various fields of mathematics. Some authors have studied centralizers in the general framework of semi-prime rings (see [12-24]). Muhammad A.C. and Mohammed S.S. [16] proved, let R be a semiprime ring and $d: R \rightarrow R$ a mapping satisfies $d(x)y = xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. Then d is a centralizer. Molnar [15] has proved, let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring and let $d: R \rightarrow R$ be an additive mapping. If $d(xyx) = d(x)yx$ holds for every $x, y \in R$, then d is a left centralizer. Muhammad A.C. and A.B. Thaheem [17] proved, let d and g be a pair of derivations of semiprime ring R satisfying $d(x)x + xg(x) \in Z(R)$, then cd and $c \in Z(R)$, $J. Vukman$ [24] proved, let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and let $d: R \rightarrow R$ be an additive centralizing mapping on R , in this case, d is commuting on R . B. Zalar [24] has proved, let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and $d: R \rightarrow R$ an additive mapping which satisfies $d(x^2) = d(x)x$ for all $x \in R$. Then d is a left centralizer. Hvala [11] initiated the algebraic study of generalized derivation and extended some results concerning derivation to generalized derivation. In this paper we study and investigate some results concerning generalized derivation D on semiprime ring R , we give some results about that.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, R will represent an associative ring without identity and has a cancellation property with the center $Z(R)$. We recall that R is semiprime if $xRx = (0)$ implies $x = 0$ and it is prime if $xRy = (0)$ implies $x = 0$ or $y = 0$. A prime ring is semiprime but the converse is not true in general. A ring R is 2-torsion free in case $2x = 0$ implies that $x = 0$ for any $x \in R$. An additive mapping $d: R \rightarrow R$ is called a derivation if $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$. A mapping d is called centralizing if $[d(x), x] \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in R$ if $[d(x), x] = 0$ for all $x \in R$, then it is called commuting, and is called central if $d(x) \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in R$. Every central mapping is obviously commuting but not conversely in general. In [10] Q. Deng and H.E. Bell extended the notion of commutativity to one of n -commutativity, where n is an arbitrary positive integer, by defining a mapping d to be n -commuting on U if $[x^n, d(x)] = 0$ for all $x \in U$, where U be a non empty subset of R . Following Bresar [9] an additive mapping $D: R \rightarrow R$ is called a generalized derivation on R if there exists a derivation $d: R \rightarrow R$ such that

$D(xy)=D(x)y+xd(y)$ holds for all $x,y \in R$. However, generalized derivation covers the concept of derivation. Also with $d=0$, a generalized derivation covers the concept of left multiplier (left centralizer) that is, an additive mapping D satisfying $D(xy)=D(x)y$ for all $x,y \in R$. As usual, we write $[x,y]$ for $xy-yx$ and make use of the commutator identities $[xy,z]=x[y,z]+[x,z]y$ and $[x,yz]=y[x,z]+[x,y]z$, and the symbol xoy stands for the anti-commutator $xy+yx$. The following Lemmas are necessary for the paper

Lemma:

Any anticommutative semiprime ring R is commutative, where A ring R is said to be anticommutative if $xy=-yx$ (that is, $xy+yx=0$) for all $x,y \in R$

3. Main results

Theorem

Let R be a semiprime ring. If R admits a non-zero generalized derivation D associated with a non-zero derivation d such that $D([x,y]) = [x,y]$ for all $x,y \in R$. Then d is commuting on R .

Proof: For $x,y \in R$, we have $D([x,y])=[x,y]$ for all $x,y \in R$, which gives $D(x)y + xd(y) - D(y)x - yd(x) - [x,y] = 0$ (1) Replacing y by yz in (1), we obtain $D(x)yz + xd(y)z + xyd(z) - D(y)zx - yd(z)x - yzd(x) - y[x,z] - [x,y]z = 0$ for all $x,y \in R$. (2)

Substituting (1) in (2) gives

$$D(y)[x,z] + yd(x)z + xd(y)z + xyd(z) - yd(z)x - yzd(x) - y[x,z] = 0 \text{ for all } x,y \in R. \text{ (3)}$$

Replacing z by x in (3), we obtain $xd(y)x + xyd(x) - yxd(x) = 0$ for all $x,y \in R$ (4)

Replacing y by x in (4), we get $xd(x)x=0$ for all $x \in R$ (5)

By using the cancellation property on x , from left, we obtain $d(x)x=0$ for all $x \in R$ (6)

Again by using the cancellation property on x , from right, we get $xd(x)=0$ for all $x \in R$. (7)

Subtracting (6) and (7), we obtain $[d(x),x] = 0$ for all $x \in R$. Thus, d is commuting on R , by this we complete our proof.

A slight modification in the proof of the above theorem yields the following.

Theorem

Let R be a semiprime ring. If R admits a non-zero generalized derivation D associated with a non-zero derivation d such that $D([x,y]) + [x,y] = 0$ for all $x,y \in R$. Then d is commuting on R .

Theorem

Let R be a semiprime ring. If R admits a non-zero generalized derivation D associated with a non-zero derivation d such that $D(xoy)=(xoy)$ for all $x,y \in R$. Then d is 2- commuting on R .

Proof: For any $x,y \in R$, we have $D(xoy)=(xoy)$ for all $x,y \in R$. This can be written as $D(x)y + xd(y) + D(y)x + yd(x) - (xoy) = 0$ for all $x,y \in R$ (8)

Replacing y by yx in above equation, we obtain $D(x)yx + xd(y)x + xyd(x) + D(y)x^2 + yd(x)x - (xoy)x = 0$ for all $x,y \in R$ (9)

According to (8) the relation above reduced to $(xoy)d(x)=0$ for all $x,y \in R$.

By using the cancellation property on $d(x)$, we get $(xoy)=0$ for all $x,y \in R$ (10).

By Lemma 2.1, we get $[x,y] = 0$ for all $x,y \in R$ (11)

Replacing x by x^2 and y by $d(x)$, we get $[d(x),x^2] = 0$ for all $x,y \in R$. Thus, d is 2- commuting on R .

We complete our proof.

A slight modification in the proof of the Theorem (3.3) yields the following

Theorem

Let R be a semiprime ring. If R admits a non-zero generalized derivation D associated with a non-zero derivation d such that $D(xoy)+(xoy) = 0$ for all $x,y \in R$. Then d is 2-commuting on R .

Proposition

Let R be a semiprime ring. If R admits a non-zero ideal generalized derivation D associated with a non-zero derivation d such that $D([x,y]) \pm (xoy) = 0$ for all $x,y \in R$. Then $2-d$ is commuting on R .

Proof: For any $x,y \in R$, we have $D([x,y]) - (xoy) = 0$. Then $[D([x,y]),r] - [(xoy),r] = 0$ for all $x,y,r \in R$. Replacing y by x , we obtain $2[x^2,r] = 0$ for all $x,r \in R$. By using the cancellation property with replacing r by $d(x)$, we obtain, $2-d$ is commuting on R .

Theorem

Let R be a semiprime ring. If R admits a non-zero generalized derivation D associated with a non-zero derivation d such that $d(x)oD(y)=0$ for all $x,y \in R$. Then d is commuting on R .

Proof: We have $d(x)oD(y)=0$ for all $x,y \in R$ (12)

Replacing y by yr , we obtain $(d(x)oy)d(r) - y[d(x),d(r)] + (d(x)oD(y))rD(y)[d(x),r] = 0$ for all $x,y \in U, r \in R$. (13)

According to (12), then (13) reduced to

$$(d(x)oy)d(r) - y[d(x),d(r)]D(y)[d(x),r] = 0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U, r \in R.$$

Replacing r by $d(x)$, we get

$$(d(x)oy)d^2(x) - y[d(x), d^2(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R \quad (14)$$

Replacing y by zy in (14), with using (14), we obtain $[d(x), z]yd^2(x) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in R$. (15)

By using the cancellation property on (15), from right, we obtain $[d(x), z]y = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in R$ (16)

Since R is semiprime from above relation, we get $[d(x), z] = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$ (17)

Replacing z by x , we obtain, d is commuting on R .

Theorem

Let R be a semiprime ring. If R admits a non-zero generalized derivation D associated with a non-zero derivation d such that $[d(x), D(y)] = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$. Then d is 2- commuting on R .

Proof: We have $[d(x), D(y)] = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$. (18)

Replacing y by yz in (18) and using the result with (18), we obtain

$$D(y)[d(x), z] + y[d(x), d(z)] + [d(x), y]d(z) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (19)$$

Replacing z by $zd(x)$ in (19) and using the result with (19), we get $yz[d(x), d^2(x)] + y[d(x), z]d^2(x) + [d(x), y]zd^2(x) = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$. (20)

Again, replacing y by ry in (20) and using the result with (20), we obtain $[d(x), z]yd^2(x) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in R$.

By using similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.6, we obtain the required result.

Theorem

Let R be a semiprime ring. If R admits a non-zero generalized derivation D associated with a non-zero derivation d such that $d(x)oD(y)=xoy$ for all $x, y \in R$. Then d is 2-commuting on R .

Proof: For any $x, y \in R$, we have $d(x)oD(y)=xoy$ for all $x, y \in R$. Replacing y by yr , we get

$$(d(x)oy)d(r) - y[d(x), d(r)] + (d(x)oD(y))r - D(y)[d(x), r] = (xoy)r - y[x, r] \text{ for all } x, y, r \in R. \text{ Using our relation, we obtain } (d(x)oy)d(r) - y[d(x), d(r)] - D(y)[d(x), r] + y[x, r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, r \in R. \quad (21)$$

In (21) replacing r by $d(x)$, we obtain

$$(d(x)oy)d^2(x) - y[d(x), d^2(x)] + y[x, d(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (22)$$

Replacing y by zy in (22), we obtain

$$(z(d(x)oy) + [d(x), z]y)d^2(x) - zy[d(x), d^2(x)] + zy[x, d(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (23)$$

According to (22), above relation reduced to $[d(x), z]yd^2(x) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in R$. (24)

By using similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.6, we obtain the required result.

A slight modification in the proof of the Theorem (3.8), yields the following

Theorem

Let R be a semiprime ring. If R admits a non-zero generalized derivation D associated with a non-zero derivation d such that $d(x)oD(y)+xoy=0$ for all $x, y \in R$. Then d is 2- commuting on R .

Theorem

Let R be a semiprime ring. If R admits a non-zero generalized derivation D associated with a non-zero derivation d such that $d(x)D(y) - xy \in z(R)$ for all $x, y \in R$. Then d is commuting on R .

Proof: For any $x, y \in R$, we have $d(x)D(y) - xy \in z(R)$, replacing y by yr , we obtain $(d(x)D(y) - xy)r + d(x)yd(r) \in z(R)$ for all $x, y, r \in R$ (25).

This implies that $[d(x)yd(r), r] = 0$ for all $x, y, r \in R$. (26)

Hence it follows that

$$d(x)[yd(r), r] + [d(x), r]yd(r) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, r \in R. \quad (27)$$

In (27) replacing y by $d(x)y$, we obtain

$$[d(x), r]d(x)yd(r) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, r \in R. \quad (28)$$

By using the cancellation property on $d(x)yd(r)$, we obtain

$$[d(x), r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, r \in R. \quad (29)$$

Replacing r by x in above relation, we obtain $[d(x), x] = 0$ for all $x \in R$. (30)

Then according to (30), we obtain d is commuting on R .

By same method in above theorem, we can prove the following

Theorem

Let R be a semiprime ring. If R admits a non-zero generalized derivation D associated with a non-zero derivation d such that $d(x)D(y) + xy \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in R$. Then d is commuting on R .

Theorem

Let R be a semiprime ring. If R admits a non-zero generalized derivation D associated with a non-zero derivation d such that $[d(x)D(y)] = [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in R$. Then d is 2- commuting on R .

Proof: For any $x, y \in R$, we have

$$[d(x)D(y)] = [x, y] \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (31)$$

Replacing y by yz in (31), with using the result with (31), we obtain

$$D(y)[d(x), z] + y[d(x), d(z)] + [d(x), y]d(z) = y[x, z] \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (32)$$

Again, replacing z by $zd(x)$ in (32) with using the result with (32), we obtain

$$y[d(x), z]d^2(x) + yz[d(x), d^2(x)] + [d(x), y]zd^2(x) = yz[x, d(x)] \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (33)$$

Replacing y by ry in (33), we obtain

$$ryz[d(x), d^2(x)] + ry[d(x), z]d^2(x) + r[d(x), y]zd^2(x) + [d(x), r]yzd^2(x) = ryz[x, d(x)] \quad \text{for all } x, y, r \in R. \quad (34)$$

According to (33), the relation (34) reduced to $[d(x), r]yzd^2(x) = 0$ for all $x, y, r \in R$. (35)

Thus, by same method in Theorem 3.6, we complete our proof.

Proceeding on the same lines with necessary variations, we can prove the following.

Theorem

Let R be a semiprime ring. If R admits a non-zero generalized derivation D associated with a non-zero derivation d such that $[d(x), D(y)] + [x, y] = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$. Then d is 2- commuting on R .

Remark

In general we cannot obtain that d is non commuting where non satisfy the conditions which appear in our theorems, the following example explain that.

Example

Let

$$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} / a^2 = b + a, a, b \in Z, \text{ the set of integers} \right\}$$

be a ring with cancellation property, and the additive map D define as the following

$$D \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } d(x) = [a, x], \text{ where}$$

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ then, let}$$

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } y = \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Then}$$

$$D(x, y) = D(x)y + xd(y)$$

$$D \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix} \right) = D \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} d \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix}$$

$$D \begin{pmatrix} ag & 0 \\ 0 & bh \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ h - g & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} ag & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ag & 0 \\ b(h - g) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Then}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b(h - g) & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (*)$$

$$b \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ h - g & 0 \end{pmatrix} = b \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then by using the cancellation property on b , we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ h - g & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ which implies } h=g$$

with substituting this result in equation (*), we obtain D is generalized derivation. Then we have

$$d(x)x = xd(x), \text{ where } x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$d(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b - a & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ then}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b - a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b - a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (b - a)a & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b(b - a) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ It is easy that } d \text{ is non commuting on } R.$$

Remark

In our theorems we cannot exclude the condition cancellation property, the following example explain that .

Example

$$\text{Let } R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} / x, y, z \in Z, \text{ the set of integers} \right\}.$$

be a ring with cancellation property, and let m be fixed element of Z and the additive map D define as the following

$$D \left(\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & mx + mz \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and the derivation } d \text{ def in as}$$

$$d \left(\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & mx + mz \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Then it is easy that } D \text{ is generalized derivation on } R. \text{ then}$$

Then it is easy that D is generalized derivation

$$d(x)x = xd(x), \text{ where } x = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \text{ then}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & ma - mc \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ma - mc \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & cma - mc^2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ama - amc \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (*)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & m(ca - c^2 - a^2 + ac) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ then}$$

$$m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2ac - c^2 - a^2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2ac - c^2 - a^2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2ac - c^2 - a^2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ then}$$

by using the cancellation property on $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2ac & c^2 & a^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ we obtain $m = 0$, therefore, by substituting this result in (*) give d is commuting.

References

- [1] M. Ashraf, Asma Ali and Rekha Rani, On generalized derivations of prime rings, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 29(2005),669-675.
- [2] M.A. Quadri, M. Shadab Khan and N. Rehman, Generalized derivations and commutativity of prime rings, *Indian Journal Pure Apply Mthematics*, 34(9)(2003),1393-1396.
- [3] N. Divinsky, On commuting automorphisms of rings, *Transactions of Royal Society of Canada, Section III*, (3)49(1955), 19-22.
- [4] E.C. Posner, Derivations in prime rings. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 8(1957), 1093-1100.
- [5] J. Luch. A note on commuting automorphisms of rings, *American Mathematical Monthly* 77(1970), 61-62.
- [6] J.H. Mayne, Centralizing automorphisms of prime rings, *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin* 19(1976), No.1, 113- 115.
- [7] L.O. Chung and J. Luh, On semicommuting automorphisms of rings, *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 21(1)(1978), 13-16.
- [8] H.E. Bell and, W.S. Matindale III, Centralizing mappings of semiprime rings, *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 30(1) (1987), 92-101.
- [9] M. Bresar, On the distance of the composition of two derivation to generalized derivations, *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, 33(1991), 89-93.
- [10] Q. Deng and H.E. Bell, On derivations and commutativity in semiprime rings, *Communications in Algebra*, 23(1995), 3705-3713.
- [11] B. Hvala, Generalized derivations in rings, *Communications in Algebra*, 26(4)(1998), 1147-1166.
- [12] A.H. Majeed and Mehsin Jabel Attiya, Some results of orthogonal generalized derivations on semiprime rings, *1st Scientific Conference of College of Sciences, Al-Muthana Univ.*, 2007, 90.
- [13] Mehsin Jabel, On of generalized semiprime rings, *International Journal of Algebra*, no.12, 4(2010), 591-598.
- [14] Mehsin Jabel, On orthogonal generalized derivations of semiprime rings, *International Mathematical Forum*, 5(2010), no. 28, 1377 – 1384.
- [15] L. Molnar, On centralizers of H^* - algebra, *Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen*, 46(1-2)(1995), 89-95.
- [16] Muhammad A.C. and S., S. Mohammed, Generalized inverses of centralizer of semiprime rings, *Aequationes Mathematicae*, 71(2006), 1-7.
- [17] Muhammad A. and A.B. Thaheem, A note on a pair of derivations of semiprime rings, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 39(2004), 2097-2102.
- [18] A.B. Thaheem, On some properties of derivations on semiprime rings, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 29(2005), 1143-1152.
- [19] J. Vukman, and Kosi-Ulbl, I., An equation related to centralizers in semiprime rings, *Glasnik Matematicki*, 38(58)(2003), 253-261.
- [20] J. Vukman, An identity related to centralizers *Commentations in semiprime rings, Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae* 40(1999), 447-456.
- [21] J. Vukman, Centralizers on semiprime rings, *Commentations Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae* 38(1997), 231-240.
- [22] J. Vukman, Centralizers on semiprime rings, *Commentations Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, 42(2001), 237- 245.
- [23] J. Vukman, Identities with derivations and automorphisms on semiprime rings, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 7(2005), 1031-1038.
- [24] B. Zalar, On centralizers of semiprime rings, *Commentations Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, 32(4)(1991), 609- 614.