



On the Two-Fold Maximal Units in Some Two-Fold Finite Neutrosophic Rings Modulo Integers for $2 \leq n \leq 5$

Isra Al-Shbeil^{1,*}, Ibraheem Abu Falahah², Talat Alkhoul³, Ahmed Soiman Rashed Alhawiti⁴, Jenan Shtayat⁵, Abdallah Al-Husban^{6,7}

¹Department of Mathematics, faculty of science, University of Jordan, Amman 11942, Jordan

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, The Hashemite University, P.O. Box 330127, Zarqa, 13133, Jordan

³Applied Science Department, Aqaba University College, Balqa Applied University, Jordan

⁴Department of General Studies, College Of Technology in Haql, Tabuk, Kingdom Of Saudi Arabia

⁵Department of mathematics, Yarmouk University, Irbid 21163, Jordan

⁶Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Irbid National University, P.O. Box: 2600 Irbid, Jordan

⁷Jadara Research center, Jadara University, Irbid 21110, Jordan

Emails: i.shbeil@ju.edu.jo; iabufalahah@hu.edu.jo; Talat.khouli@bau.edu.jo; ahmed.a13@tvtc.gov.sa; Jenan@inu.edu.jo; dralhoban@inu.edu.jo

Abstract

In this paper, we have defined the concept of two-fold maximal units in finite two-fold neutrosophic rings modulo integers, where a sufficient and necessary condition for such class of generalized units will be provided. We characterize all maximal units in the following two-fold neutrosophic rings $(Z_n(I))_{f_I}$ for $n \in \{2,3,4,5\}$.

Keywords: Two-fold algebra; Finite neutrosophic ring; Modulo integer ring; minimal unit

1. Introduction

The question of determining the units in an algebraic ring has attracted the attention of many researchers, specifically in some modern rings such as neutrosophic rings, and n-cyclic refined neutrosophic rings [6-8], where we find a classification of the group of units in several special solutions, and also tables that calculate the value of these units and determine their exact number [9-20].

A unit in a ring R is the concept of being an invertible element concerning multiplication operation, which make a group together. Two-fold neutrosophic algebras are new algebraic structures presented by Smarandache [1] by combining neutrosophic values of truth, falsity, and indeterminacy with classical algebraic sets. Many authors to generalize other famous algebraic structures such as two-fold fuzzy number theoretical systems [2-3], two-fold modules and spaces [4], and two-fold fuzzy rings [5] used these ideas.

In this work, we define the maximal two-fold neutrosophic finite ring modulo integers, and we determine all maximal units in these rings for the special values of n between 2 and 5. We have also classified all the units that have been calculated in tables showing their values as well as their number.

2. Main Discussion

Definition 2.1:

Let $Z_n = \{0,1, \dots, n-1\}$ be the ring of integers modulo n, and $Z_n(I) = \{a + bI; I^2 = I, a, b \in Z_n\}$ be the corresponding neutrosophic ring. Assume that $f: Z_n \rightarrow [0,1]$ be a fuzzy mapping with

$\begin{cases} f(0) = 0 \\ f(1) = 1 \end{cases}$; $f_I: Z_n(I) \rightarrow [0,1]$ be the mapping defined as follows:

$$f_I(a + bI) = \max(f(a), f(b)).$$

The maximal two-fold neutrosophic ring $(Z_n(I))_{f_I}$ as follows:

$$(Z_n(I))_{f_I} = \{(a + bI)_{f_I(c+dI)} ; a + bI, c + dI \in Z_n(I)\}.$$

Example 2.1:

Consider $(Z_5 = \{0,1,2,3,4\}, +, \cdot)$ the ring of integers modulo 5, take $f : Z_5 \rightarrow [0,1]$;

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 ; x = 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} ; x \in \{2,3\} \\ \frac{1}{4} ; x = 4 \\ 0 ; x = 0 \end{cases},$$

$$Z_5(I) = \{0,1,2,3,4, I, 2I, 3I, 4I, 1 + I, 1 + 2I, 1 + 3I, 1 + 4I, 2 + I, 2 + 2I, 2 + 3I, 2 + 4I, 3 + I, 3 + 2I, 3 + 3I, 3 + 4I, 4 + I, 4 + 2I, 4 + 3I, 4 + 4I\}.$$

$f_I(3 + 4I) = \max(f(3), f(4)) = \frac{1}{2}$, $f_I(1 + 2I) = \max(f(1), f(2)) = 1$. By a similar approach, we can see:

$$f_I(1 + 4I) = 1, f_I(2 + 4I) = \frac{1}{2}, f_I(0) = 0, f_I(I) = 1, f_I(2I) = \frac{1}{2}, f_I(3I) = \frac{1}{2}, f_I(4I) = \frac{1}{4}, f_I(1 + 3I) = 1,$$

$$f_I(2 + I) = 1, f_I(3 + I) = 1, f_I(2) = f_I(3) = 1/2, \text{ and so on.}$$

Therefore, $(Z_5(I))_{f_I} = \{(a + bI)_{f_I}, (a + bI)_1, (a + bI)_{\frac{1}{2}}, (a + bI)_{\frac{1}{4}}; \text{ for all } a, b \in Z_5\}$

Definition 2.2

Let $(Z_n(I))_{f_I}$ be the maximal two-fold neutrosophic ring, then $(a + bI)_{f_I(m+nI)}$ is called a maximal unit if and only if there exists:

$(c + dI)_{f_I(t+kI)} \in (Z_n(I))_{f_I}$ such that:

$$(a + bI)_{f_I(m+nI)} \circ (c + dI)_{f_I(t+kI)} = 1_1.$$

Remark 2.1

The operation $(\circ): Z_n(I) \times Z_n(I) \rightarrow Z_n(I)$ is defined as:

$$(a + bI)_{f_I(m+nI)} \circ (c + dI)_{f_I(t+kI)} = (ac + (ad + bc + bd)I)_{f_I(mt+(mk+nt+nk)I)}$$

Example 2.2

Consider $Z_3 = \{0,1,2\}$, $Z_3(I) = \{0,1,2, I, 2I, 1 + I, 1 + 2I, 2 + I, 2 + 2I\}$,

$$\text{Consider } f: Z_3 \rightarrow [0,1]; \begin{cases} f(0) = 0 \\ f(1) = 1 \\ f(2) = \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

Then: $f_I: Z_3(I) \rightarrow [0,1]$ such that:

$$f_I(0) = 0, f_I(1) = 1, f_I(2) = \frac{1}{2}, f_I(I) = 1, f_I(2I) = \frac{1}{2}, f_I(1 + I) = 1, f_I(1 + 2I) = 1, f_I(2 + I) = 1, f_I(2 + 2I) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

So that $(Z_3(I))_{f_I} = \{0_0, 0_{\frac{1}{2}}, 0_1, 1_0, 1_1, 1_{\frac{1}{2}}, I_0, I_1, I_{\frac{1}{2}}, 2_0, 2_1, 2_{\frac{1}{2}}, (2I)_0, (2I)_1, (2I)_{\frac{1}{2}}, (1 + I)_0, (1 + I)_1, (1 + I)_{\frac{1}{2}}, (1 + 2I)_1, (2 + I)_1, (2 + 2I)_{\frac{1}{2}}, (2 + I)_0, (2 + I)_{\frac{1}{2}}, (1 + 2I)_0, (1 + 2I)_{\frac{1}{2}}, (2 + 2I)_0, (2 + 2I)_1\}$.

Theorem 2.1

The necessary and sufficient conditions of $(a + bI)_{f_I(m+nI)}$ to be a maximal unit in $Z_n(I)$ are:

$$1) \gcd(a, n) = \gcd(a + b, n) = 1$$

$$2) \exists t + kI \in Z_n(I) \text{ such that: } mt = 1 \text{ or } (m + n)(t + k) - mt = 1.$$

Proof:

$(a + bI)_{f_I(m+nI)}$ is a maximal unit if and only if there exists $(c + dI)_{f_I(t+kI)} \in (Z_n(I))_{f_I}$ such that:

$$(a + bI)_{f_I(m+nI)} \circ (c + dI)_{f_I(t+kI)} = 1_1.$$

This is equivalent to:

$$(a + bI)(c + dI) = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} ac = 1 \\ ad + bc + bd = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \gcd(a, n) = 1 \\ (a + b)(c + d) - ac = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \gcd(a, n) = 1 \\ (a + b)(c + d) = ac = 1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \gcd(a, n) = 1 \\ \gcd(a + b, n) = 1 \end{cases}$$

On the other hand:

$$f_I[(m + nI)(t + kI)] = 1 \Rightarrow f_I[mt + I(mk + nt + nk)] = 1 \Rightarrow f_I[mt + I[(m + n)(t + k) - mt]] = 1, \quad \text{so that:}$$

$f(mt) = 1$ or $f((m + n)(t + k) - mt) = 1$, hence:

$$\begin{cases} mt = 1 \\ \text{or } (m + n)(t + k) - mt = 1 \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.2

$mt = 1$ implies that $\gcd(m, n) = 1$.

Remark 2.3

The maximal units of $Z_n(I)$ are independent with respect to the mapping (f) .

maximal units of $(Z_2(I))_{f_I}$:

we have:

$$Z_2 = \{0, 1\}, Z_2(I) = \{0, 1, I, 1 + I\}, \cup(Z_2) = \cup(Z_2(I)) = \{1\}.$$

$$M_u(Z_2(I))_{f_I} = \{1_{f_I(m+nI)}; \exists k + tI; mk = 1 \text{ or } (m + n)(t + k) - mk = 1\},$$

There for $m + nI \in \{1, I, 1 + I\}$ but: $f_I(1) = f_I(I) = f_I(1 + I) = 1$, hence: $M_u(Z_2(I))_{f_I} = \{1_1\}$

Maximal units of $(Z_3(I))_{f_I}$:

we have:

$$Z_3 = \{0, 1, 2\}, Z_3(I) = \{0, 1, 2, I, 2I, 1 + I, 1 + 2I, 2 + I, 2 + 2I\},$$

$$\cup(Z_3) = \{1, 2\}, \cup(Z_3(I)) = \{1, 2, 1 + I, 2 + 2I\}.$$

For any fuzzy mapping $f: Z_n \rightarrow [0, 1]$, we have:

$$f_I(0) = 0, f_I(1) = f_I(I) = f_I(1 + I) = f_I(1 + 2I) = f_I(2 + I) = 1, f_I(2I) = f_I(2 + 2I) = f(2).$$

Also, $(2I)(2I) = I, 2(2 + 2I) = 1 + I$, hence:

$$M_u(Z_3(I))_{f_I} = \{1_1, 1_{f(2)}, 2_1, 2_{f(2)}, (1 + I)_1, (1 + I)_{f(2)}, (2 + 2I)_1, (2 + 2I)_{f(2)}\}$$

Table 1: Maximal units of $(Z_3(I))_{f_I}$

	Maximal units in $(Z_3(I))_{f_I}$
1	1_1
2	$1_{f(2)}$
3	2_1
4	$2_{f(2)}$
5	$(1 + I)_1$
6	$(1 + I)_{f(2)}$
7	$(2 + 2I)_1$
8	$(2 + 2I)_{f(2)}$

Maximal units in $(Z_4(I))_{f_I}$:

$$Z_4 = \{0,1,2,3\}, Z_4(I) = \{0,1,2,3, I, 2I, 3I, 1 + I, 1 + 2I, 1 + 3I, 2 + I, 2 + 2I, 2 + 3I, 3 + I, 3 + 2I, 3 + 3I\},$$

$$\cup (Z_4) = \{1,3\}, \cup (Z_4(I)) = \{1,3,1 + 2I, 3 + 2I\}.$$

For any fuzzy mapping $f: Z_4 \rightarrow [0,1]$, we have:

$$f_I(0) = 0, f_I(1) = f_I(I) = f_I(1 + I) = f_I(1 + 2I) = f_I(1 + 3I) = f_I(2 + I) = 1, f_I(2I) = f_I(2 + 2I) = f(2), f_I(3 + 3I) = f_I(3I) = f(3), f_I(3 + I) = 1, f_I(2 + 3I) = f_I(3 + 2I) = \max(f(2), f(3)).$$

Also, $(2 + 3I) \cdot I = 5I = I, (3 + 2I) \cdot I = 5I = I, 3 \cdot (3 + 3I) = 1 + I, 3 \cdot (3I) = I, (2I) \cdot x \neq c + dI; c = 1$ or $d = 1, (2 + 2I) \cdot x \neq c + dI; c = 1$ or $d = 1$ for all $x \in Z_4(I)$.

$$M_u(Z_4(I))_{f_I} = \{1_1, 1_{f(3)}, 1_{\max(f(2), f(3))}, 3_1, 3_{f(3)}, 3_{\max(f(2), f(3))}, (1 + 2I)_1, (1 + 2I)_{f(3)}, (1 + 2I)_{\max(f(2), f(3))}, (3 + 2I)_1, (3 + 2I)_{f(3)}, (3 + 2I)_{\max(f(2), f(3))}\}$$

We have two possible cases:

Case (1): if $f(2) > f(3)$,

Case (2): if $f(2) \geq f(3)$,

We classify the units in these cases by tables.

Table 2: Case (1): if $f(2) > f(3)$

	$M_u(Z_4(I))_{f_I}$
1	1_1
2	$1_{f(3)}$
3	$1_{f(2)}$
4	3_1
5	$3_{f(3)}$
6	$3_{f(2)}$
7	$(1 + 2I)_1$
8	$(1 + 2I)_{f(3)}$
9	$(1 + 2I)_{f(2)}$
10	$(3 + 2I)_1$
11	$(3 + 2I)_{f(3)}$
12	$(3 + 2I)_{f(2)}$

Table 3: Case (2): if $f(2) \geq f(3)$

	$M_u(Z_4(I))_{f_I}$
1	1_1
2	$1_{f(3)}$
3	3_1
4	$3_{f(3)}$
5	$(1 + 2I)_1$
6	$(1 + 2I)_{f(3)}$
7	$(3 + 2I)_1$
8	$(3 + 2I)_{f(3)}$

Maximal units in $(Z_5(I))_{f_I}$:

$$Z_5 = \{0,1,2,3,4\}, Z_5(I) = \{0,1,2,3,4, I, 2I, 3I, 4I, 1 + I, 1 + 2I, 1 + 3I, 1 + 4I, 2 + I, 2 + 3I, 2 + 4I, 2 + 2I, 3 + I, 3 + 2I, 3 + 3I, 3 + 4I, 4 + I, 4 + 2I, 4 + 3I, 4 + 4I\},$$

$$\cup (Z_5) = \{1,2,3,4\}, \cup (Z_5(I)) = \{1,2,3,4, 1 + I, 1 + 2I, 1 + 3I, 2 + I, 2 + 4I, 2 + 2I, 3 + I, 3 + 3I, 3 + 4I, 4 + 2I, 4 + 3I, 4 + 4I\}.$$

For any fuzzy mapping $f: Z_5 \rightarrow [0,1]$, then we have:

$$f_I(0) = 0, f_I(1) = f_I(I) = f_I(1 + I) = f_I(1 + 2I) = f_I(1 + 3I) = f_I(1 + 4I) = f_I(2 + I) = f_I(3 + I) = f_I(4 + I) = 1, f_I(2 + 2I) = f_I(2I) = f_I(2) = f(2), f_I(3 + 3I) = f_I(3I) = f_I(3) = f(3), f_I(4) = f_I(4 + 4I) = f_I(4I) = f(4), f_I(2 + 3I) = f_I(3 + 2I) = \max(f(2), f(3)), f_I(2 + 4I) = f_I(4 + 2I) = \max(f(2), f(4)), f_I(3 + 4I) = f_I(4 + 3I) = \max(f(3), f(4)),$$

$$\text{Also, } (4I)(4) = I, (3I)(2) = I, (2I)(3) = I, (2 + 2I)(3) = 1 + I.$$

We discuss the possible cases:

Table 4: Case (1): if $f(2) < f(3) < f(4)$

	$M_u(Z_5(I))_{f_I}$
1	1_1
2	2_1
3	3_1
4	4_1
5	$1_{f(3)}$
6	$2_{f(3)}$
7	$3_{f(3)}$
8	$4_{f(3)}$
9	$1_{f(4)}$
10	$2_{f(4)}$
11	$3_{f(4)}$
12	$4_{f(4)}$
13	$(1 + I)_1$
14	$(1 + I)_{f(2)}$
15	$(1 + I)_{f(3)}$
16	$(1 + I)_{f(4)}$
17	$1_{f(2)}$
18	$2_{f(2)}$

19	$3_{f(2)}$
20	$4_{f(2)}$
21	$(1 + 2I)_1$
22	$(1 + 2I)_{f(2)}$
23	$(1 + 2I)_{f(3)}$
24	$(1 + 2I)_{f(4)}$
25	$(1 + 3I)_1$
26	$(1 + 3I)_{f(2)}$
27	$(1 + 3I)_{f(3)}$
28	$(1 + 3I)_{f(4)}$
29	$(2 + I)_1$
30	$(2 + I)_{f(2)}$
31	$(2 + I)_{f(3)}$
32	$(2 + I)_{f(4)}$
33	$(2 + 4I)_1$
34	$(2 + 4I)_{f(2)}$
35	$(2 + 4I)_{f(3)}$
36	$(2 + 4I)_{f(4)}$
37	$(2 + 2I)_1$
38	$(2 + 2I)_{f(2)}$
39	$(2 + 2I)_{f(3)}$
40	$(2 + 2I)_{f(4)}$
41	$(3 + I)_1$
42	$(3 + I)_{f(2)}$
43	$(3 + I)_{f(3)}$
44	$(3 + I)_{f(4)}$
45	$(3 + 4I)_1$
46	$(3 + 4I)_{f(2)}$
47	$(3 + 4I)_{f(3)}$
48	$(3 + 4I)_{f(4)}$
49	$(4 + 2I)_1$
50	$(4 + 2I)_{f(2)}$
51	$(4 + 2I)_{f(3)}$
52	$(4 + 2I)_{f(4)}$
53	$(4 + 3I)_1$
54	$(4 + 3I)_{f(2)}$
55	$(4 + 3I)_{f(3)}$
56	$(4 + 3I)_{f(4)}$
57	$(4 + 4I)_1$
58	$(4 + 4I)_{f(2)}$
59	$(4 + 4I)_{f(3)}$
60	$(4 + 4I)_{f(4)}$

Case (2):

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54216/IJNS.250213>

Received: February 11, 2024 Revised: April 29, 2024 Accepted: August 02, 2024

If $f(3) < f(2) < f(4)$ or $f(4) < f(2) < f(3)$ or $f(4) < f(3) < f(2)$ or $f(3) < f(4) < f(2)$, we get the same maximal units.

Case (3):

If $f(2) = f(3) = f(4)$, we get:

Table 5: Case (3): If $f(2) = f(3) = f(4)$

	$M_u(Z_5(I))_{f_1}$
1	1_1
2	2_1
3	3_1
4	4_1
5	$1_{f(2)}$
6	$2_{f(2)}$
7	$3_{f(2)}$
8	$4_{f(2)}$
9	$(1 + I)_1$
10	$(1 + I)_{f(2)}$
11	$(1 + 2I)_1$
12	$(1 + 2I)_{f(2)}$
13	$(4 + 2I)_1$
14	$(4 + 2I)_{f(2)}$
15	$(4 + 3I)_1$
16	$(4 + 3I)_{f(2)}$
17	$(4 + 4I)_1$
18	$(4 + 4I)_{f(2)}$
19	$(2 + 2I)_1$
20	$(2 + 2I)_{f(2)}$
21	$(3 + I)_1$
22	$(3 + I)_{f(2)}$
23	$(3 + 4I)_1$
24	$(3 + 4I)_{f(2)}$
25	$(1 + 3I)_1$
26	$(1 + 3I)_{f(2)}$
27	$(2 + I)_1$
28	$(2 + I)_{f(2)}$
29	$(2 + 4I)_1$
30	$(2 + 4I)_{f(2)}$
31	$(4 + 2I)_1$
32	$(4 + 2I)_{f(2)}$

Case (4):

If $f(a) = f(b) < f(c)$ or $f(a) = f(b) > f(c)$ for $a, b, c \in \{2,3,4\}$, we get:

Table 6: Case (4): If $f(a) = f(b) < f(c)$ or $f(a) = f(b) > f(c)$

	$M_u(Z_5(I))_{f_I}$
1	1_1
2	$1_{f(a)}$
3	$1_{f(c)}$
4	2_1
5	$2_{f(a)}$
6	$2_{f(c)}$
7	3_1
8	$3_{f(a)}$
9	$3_{f(c)}$
10	4_1
11	$4_{f(a)}$
12	$4_{f(c)}$
13	$(1 + I)_1$
14	$(1 + I)_{f(a)}$
15	$(1 + I)_{f(c)}$
16	$(1 + 2I)_1$
17	$(1 + 2I)_{f(a)}$
18	$(1 + 2I)_{f(c)}$
19	$(4 + 2I)_1$
20	$(4 + 2I)_{f(a)}$
21	$(4 + 2I)_{f(c)}$
22	$(4 + 3I)_1$
23	$(4 + 3I)_{f(a)}$
24	$(4 + 3I)_{f(c)}$
25	$(4 + 4I)_1$
26	$(4 + 4I)_{f(a)}$
27	$(4 + 4I)_{f(c)}$
28	$(3 + 4I)_1$
29	$(3 + 4I)_{f(a)}$
30	$(3 + 4I)_{f(c)}$
31	$(1 + 3I)_1$
32	$(1 + 3I)_{f(a)}$
33	$(1 + 3I)_{f(c)}$
34	$(2 + I)_1$
35	$(2 + I)_{f(a)}$
36	$(2 + I)_{f(c)}$
37	$(2 + 2I)_1$
38	$(2 + 2I)_{f(a)}$

39	$(2 + 2I)_{f(c)}$
40	$(3 + I)_1$
41	$(3 + I)_{f(a)}$
42	$(3 + I)_{f(c)}$
43	$(2 + 4I)_1$
44	$(2 + 4I)_{f(a)}$
45	$(2 + 4I)_{f(c)}$
46	$(3 + 3I)_1$
47	$(3 + 3I)_{f(a)}$
48	$(3 + 3I)_{f(c)}$

Conclusion

In this paper, we defined the concept of two-fold maximal units in finite two-fold neutrosophic rings modulo integers, where a sufficient and necessary condition for such class of generalized units is provided. We characterized all maximal units in the following two-fold neutrosophic rings $(Z_n(I))_{f_I}$ for $n \in \{2,3,4,5\}$. We have also classified all the units that have been calculated in tables showing their values as well as their number.

References

- [1] Florentine Smarandache. "Neutrosophic Two-Fold Algebra", Plithogenic Logic and Computation, Vol.1, No.1 2024. PP.11-15.
- [2] Mohammad Abobala. "On The Foundations of Fuzzy Number Theory and Fuzzy Diophantine Equations." Galoitica: Journal of Mathematical Structures and Applications, Vol. 10, No. 1, 2023, PP. 17-25 (Doi: <https://doi.org/10.54216/GJMSA.0100102>).
- [3] Mohammad Abobala. (2023). On a Two-Fold Algebra Based on the Standard Fuzzy Number Theoretical System. Journal of Neutrosophic and Fuzzy Systems, 7 (2), 24-29. (Doi : <https://doi.org/10.54216/JNFS.070202>).
- [4] Ahmed Hatip, Necati Olgun. (2023). On the Concepts of Two- Fold Fuzzy Vector Spaces and Algebraic Modules. Journal of Neutrosophic and Fuzzy Systems, 7 (2), 46-52. (Doi : <https://doi.org/10.54216/JNFS.070205>).
- [5] Shihadeh, A., Matarneh, K. A. M., Hatamleh, R., Hijazeen, R. B. Y., Al-Qadri, M. O., Al-Husban, A. (2024). An Example of Two-Fold Fuzzy Algebras Based On Neutrosophic Real Numbers. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 67, 169-178.
- [6] Sankari, H., and Abobala, M., "On the Group of Units Classification In 3-Cyclic and 4-cyclic Refined Rings of Integers and the Proof of Von Shtawzens' Conjectures", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, 2023.
- [7] Sankari, H., and Abobala, M., "On the Classification of the Group of Units of Rational and Real 2-Cyclic Refined Neutrosophic Rings", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 2023.
- [8] Sadiq. B., "A Contribution To The group Of Units Problem in Some 2-Cyclic Refined Neutrosophic Rings ", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, 2022.
- [9] Nabil Khuder Salman, Maikel Leyva Vazquez,Batista Hernández Noel. On The Classification of 3-Cyclic/4-Cyclic Refined Neutrosophic Real and Rational Von Shtawzen's Group. International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, (2024); 23 (2): 26-31.
- [10] T.Qawasmeh,R.Hatamleh,(2023).A new contraction based on H-simulation functions in the frame of extended b-metric spaces and application,International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering 13 (4),4212- 4221.
- [11] Ayman Hazaymeh, Rania Saadeh, Raed Hatamleh, Mohammad W Alomari, Ahmad Qazza,, (2023). A Perturbed Milne's Quadrature Rule for n-Times Differentiable Functions with Lp-Error Estimates, Axioms-MDPI, 12(9), 803.

- [12] Katy D. Ahmad, Mikail BAL, Arwa A. Hajjari, Rozina Ali. (2021). Novel Applications of Neutrosophic AH-Isometries to the Group of Units Problem in Neutrosophic Rings and Refined Neutrosophic Rings. *Journal of Neutrosophic and Fuzzy Systems*, 1 (2), 89-98 (Doi : <https://doi.org/10.54216/JNFS.010205>).
- [13] Hazaymeh, Ayman, (2023). Time Effective Fuzzy Soft Set and Its Some Applications with and Without a Neutrosophic. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science* 23(.2), 129-29.
- [14] Al-Husban, A., Al-Qadri, M. O., Saadeh, R., Qazza, A., & Almomani, H. H. (2022). Multi-fuzzy rings. *WSEAS TRANSACTIONS on MATHEMATICS*, 21, 701-706.
- [15] MATARNEH, K. A., AL-QADRI, M. O., AL-HUSBAN, A. B. D. A. L. L. A. H., ALDIABAT, R. I., & ALSHORM, S. (2023). Decomposition of Complete Multigraph into Wheel Graphs for Cyclic Triple System. *WSEAS TRANSACTIONS on MATHEMATICS*. 22, 950-960.
- [16] Shihadeh, A., Matarneh, K. A. M., Hatamleh, R., Hijazeen, R. B. Y., Al-Qadri, M. O., & Al-Husban, A. (2024). An Example of Two-Fold Fuzzy Algebras Based On Neutrosophic Real Numbers. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 67, 169-178.
- [17] Abdallah Shihadeh, Khaled Ahmad Mohammad Matarneh, Raed Hatamleh, Mowafaq Omar Al-Qadri, Abdallah Al-Husban. (2024). On the Two-Fold Fuzzy n-Refined Neutrosophic Rings For $2 \leq n \leq 3$. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 68, 8-25.
- [18] Al-Husban, A., Salleh, A. R., & Hassan, N. (2015). Complex fuzzy normal subgroup. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 1678, No. 1). AIP Publishing.
- [19] Abdallah Al-Husban & Abdul Razak Salleh 2015. Complex fuzzy ring. *Proceedings of 2nd International Conference on Computing, Mathematics and Statistics*. Pages. 241-245. Publisher: IEEE 2015.
- [20] Abdallah Al-Husban & Abdul Razak Salleh 2015. Complex Fuzzy Hyperring Based on Complex Fuzzy Spaces. *Proceedings of 2nd Innovation and Analytics Conference & Exhibition (IACE)*. Vol. 1691. AIP Publishing 2015. Pages 040009-040017.