



Quantum-Inspired Machine Learning: Bridging Classical and Quantum Algorithms

Ahmed Hamid Elias^{1,*} Dhurgham Abbas Mohsin Albojwaid² Ahmed Younus Abdulkadhim³
Raad S. Alhumaima⁴ Laith Farhan⁵

¹ College of Health and Medical Techniques, Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical University, Najaf, Iraq

² Jabir Ibn Hayyan University for Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Central Library Automated Systems Department, Najaf, Iraq

³ Brunel University, Uxbridge UB8 3PH, United Kingdom

⁴ School of Engineering, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester M1, United Kingdom

⁵ Affiliation not specified in the supplied PDF

Emails: ahmed.elias@atu.edu.iq; Dhurgham.a.mohsin@jmu.edu.iq; ahmedkazem.chm@atu.edu.iq; 1234914@alumni.brunel.ac.uk; l.al-bayati@mmu.ac.uk

Received: April 25, 2025 Revised: July 10, 2025 Accepted: September 12, 2025 ★ Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Integration of quantum-inspired algorithms in machine learning has opened up new horizons for improving predictive performance, efficiency, and scalability across a broad spectrum of application domains. This paper presents a comparative investigation between traditional machine learning techniques and quantum-inspired models. Experiments demonstrate that quantum-inspired approaches exhibit higher accuracy, training effectiveness, and stability on difficult datasets than traditional methods. Results point towards higher convergence rates, shorter runtime, and enhanced generalization capacity in quantum-inspired models, realized in the form of enhanced accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-scores. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) and precision–recall analyses further confirm the superior discriminative power of quantum-inspired approaches. Results point toward the potential of quantum-inspired machine learning as an interface between conventional algorithms and the new frontier of quantum computing, with a stepping stone to future-proof intelligent systems.

Keywords: Quantum-Inspired Algorithms ▪ Machine Learning ▪ Quantum Computing ▪ Predictive Analytics ▪ Model Optimization ▪ Hybrid Frameworks ▪ Performance Metrics ▪ Artificial Intelligence

1. INTRODUCTION

The convergence of digital transformation, machine learning (ML), and quantum-inspired algorithms has brought about a paradigm shift in research, business, and civic applications. With increasingly abundant data growing in volume, velocity, and diversity, companies are in search of emergent computational strategies that connect legacy algorithms and next-generation quantum paradigms. These breakthroughs not only improve predictive analytics but also foster sustainable solutions across the finance, cybersecurity, healthcare, and

manufacturing industries. The marriage of machine learning with quantum-inspired approaches provides new alternatives for boosting accuracy, running-time efficiency, and generalization without the computational cost of conventional techniques.

Growth of digital platforms has impacted profoundly consumers' experiences, loyalty, and happiness in finance. Hemaprabha and Sundar highlighted that e-service quality influences e-loyalty through the mediation of e-satisfaction in the industry of securities brokerage [1]. Likewise, their sub-

sequent study established website familiarity as a moderator to traders' intention towards loyalty [2]. These findings align with the increasing prominence of intelligent algorithms in finance markets, where machine learning can be implemented to predict consumer behaviour and system adaptation towards individualized services.

Along the same trend, Hemaprabha, Catherine, and Vijayakumar submitted India's increasing travel and tourism industry with statistical analysis, demonstrating data-driven approaches driving global change initiatives that are sustainable [3]. Moreover, their psychological research of trader satisfaction with e-broking service providers was capable of establishing the link between human behaviour, service design, and data analytics [4]. Technology's role in elevating the resilience of society has only become clearer in times of international crises.

Nadaf et al. suggested a pandemic control framework based on social media and IoT, emphasizing the employment of analytical methods in real-time decision-making [5]. Trivedi described frameworks for legal education and the historical development of parliamentary privileges, emphasizing the interlinking of emerging technologies with governance and legal reforms [6, 7]. Gupta and Trivedi deliberated global water accords, emphasizing the predictive modelling used to tackle transboundary disputes. These multidisciplinary research articles collectively form the basis for integrating machine learning into socio-economic, political, and environmental domains. In the context of cybersecurity, the digital ecosystems concept has become better realized with advancing sophistication in attacks. Nair et al. developed frameworks to enhance child safety on the internet with proactive defence against online threats [9]. Lakshmikanthan et al. surveyed prevention of botnet attacks against IoT using machine learning to protect connected devices, illustrating the promise of ML in enabling adaptive defences [10].

Nair explained cyber warfare in the Russia-Ukraine conflict, focusing on implications of cybersecurity beyond traditional systems [11]. These works align with the quantum-inspired machine learning approach for stronger detection and prevention through rapid learning and better generalizability of the data. Concurrent developments in materials science and engineering also illustrate cross-disciplinary research. Studies have characterized silane-treated composites [13] and examined the structural behaviour of 3D-printed lightweight materials [14]. Such developments create opportunities for applying predictive analysis and optimization across application domains.

Healthcare and biomedical sectors have also embraced ML and quantum-inspired methods for improved outcomes. Chunnara et al. discussed advancements in polymer-based nanomaterials for dentistry, referring to increased reliance on computational techniques for analysis and design [15]. Prova also developed healthcare fraud detection [16], trash sorting using vision transformers [17], and health-insurance predictive analysis with ML [18]. These works emphasize the flexibility of ML approaches in various problem domains, from physical sciences to healthcare informatics. Mechanical engineering and industrial systems have also benefited from the integration of ML. Vijayalakshmi et al. applied federated learning for rotating-machinery fault diagnosis and standard-

ization, creating possibilities for industrial monitoring via distributed intelligence [19]. In financial technologies, Devi and Indoria discussed the unified payment interface, a sign of the digitalization of payment systems in India [20]. Kumar et al. proposed optimization strategies for vehicle platooning to enhance the spatial efficiency of intelligent transport systems [21].

Agricultural technology has also seen advancements, with Vidyasagar et al. employing transfer learning to predict tomato leaf disease so farmers can take necessary steps at the appropriate time and reduce crop loss [22]. Collectively, these efforts show the broad applicability of ML, ranging from agriculture and healthcare to cybersecurity and finance. Nonetheless, with increasingly complex datasets, conventional algorithms are confronted with scalability and efficiency constraints. This has encouraged the exploration of quantum-inspired machine learning, which uses concepts of quantum computation such as superposition and entanglement in classical models. Quantum-inspired ML models have the potential to accelerate optimization, kernel-based classification, and cross-domain generalization. By bridging the gap between classical computation and emerging quantum paradigms, these models aim to eliminate computational bottlenecks without sacrificing compatibility with current hardware. The application of quantum-inspired methods is thus a pragmatic step toward the quantum future, in which researchers and practitioners can benefit from the strength of quantum concepts without requiring mature quantum computers.

2. RELATED WORK

Machine learning based on quantum ideas depends on the development of quantum detection and estimation theory. The initial theoretical contributions by Helstrom formed the basis of quantum hypothesis testing and estimation, such as a statistical framework for discriminating between quantum states [23]. Later contributions by Belavkin extended the theory to optimal hypothesis testing and many quantum statistical decisions and established the theoretical bases of quantum statistical inference [24, 25]. Holevo continued working on probabilistic and statistical quantum theory, giving mathematical formalism to investigate quantum statistical models [26]. Together, these initial contributions laid the basis for subsequent research in quantum detection, state discrimination, and decision-making.

Quantum state discrimination has been central to achieving quantum information processing bounds. Ivanovic [27], Dieks [28], and Peres [29] each developed early proposals for distinguishing non-orthogonal states, a significant step towards resolving quantum measurement issues. Improved optimal detection schemes were introduced more recently by Peres and Wootters [30], with the theory for a "pretty good" measurement to distinguish between quantum states being developed by Hausladen and Wootters [31]. Subsequent joint work with colleagues extended these ideas to measurement of classical information capacities of quantum channels [32]. Cheffles provided a thorough examination of state-discrimination techniques at the state level, further cementing the field [33]. Subsequently, Montanaro placed limits on quantum state discrimination, providing solid the-

oretical limits [34]. These works collectively set the mathematics and physics on which quantum-inspired algorithms operate.

Parallel development in semidefinite programming (SDP) has made it possible to design optimal quantum detectors and decision rules. Eldar et al. initially developed design methods for detectors via SDP, integrating optimization and quantum statistical theory [35]. Vandenberghe and Boyd's work on semidefinite programming expanded its applications across optimization and control [36], while Chia et al. developed quantum-inspired sublinear-time algorithms to find solutions to problems of low-rank SDPs [37]. These advancements have a direct effect on the efficiency of quantum-inspired classifiers since many of the models rely on convex optimization techniques to discriminate among states and learn kernels.

Parameterized models and quantum circuits play an important role when exploring the expressiveness of quantum-inspired frameworks. Du et al. addressed the representational power of parameterized quantum circuits and their ability to express rich correlations [38]. Havlíček et al. introduced supervised learning with quantum-enhanced feature spaces with useful applications for classification [39]. Schuld and Killoran later explained that a number of supervised quantum ML models can be restated as kernel methods in Hilbert spaces [40]. This viewpoint was echoed by Schuld's subsequent research on data encoding, illustrating that the expressiveness of quantum-inspired models depends crucially on the embedding of classical data into quantum states [41].

Aside from kernels, quantum-inspired models have emerged for regression as well as classification problems. Cortes and Vapnik's innovation of support-vector networks [42] formed the basis of margin-based classifiers, now generalized into the quantum paradigm. Gambs provided one of the earliest attempts at defining quantum classification [43], while Sergioli et al. proposed a quantum-inspired extension of the nearest-mean classifier [44], followed by a novel binary-classification framework based on quantum structures [45]. Giuntini and others advanced the field further by formulating quantum state-discrimination strategies for supervised classification [46, 47], and proposed algorithms for direct multiclass classification [48]. More recent work develops quantum-inspired classifiers based on state discrimination, including multiclass and kernel-based approaches [12]. These approaches highlight the adaptability of quantum-inspired models in supervised-learning contexts.

Quantum-inspired concepts have also made a mark on optimization and regression. Gilyén et al. presented more effective quantum-inspired algorithms for linear regression [49], addressing scalability issues for high-dimensional applications. Gruber surveyed efficiency gains from shrinkage methods such as ridge regression, offering classical baselines against which quantum-inspired regression approaches can be compared [50]. Hilbe's introduction to logistic regression [51] and Kennedy's econometrics guide [52] also provide background context for assessing the performance of quantum-inspired expansions of regression models.

Applications of quantum-inspired neural networks have also augmented the discipline. Tacchino et al. applied an artificial feed-forward neural network to a quantum system, show-

ing the possibility of hybrid quantum-classical models [53]. Grant et al. explained hierarchical quantum classifiers that mimic deep-learning architectures [54], while LaRose and Coyle introduced robust data encodings for quantum classifiers to tackle stability and noise challenges [55]. Greydanus discussed scaling down deep-learning architectures, consistent with the need for efficient encodings in quantum-inspired systems [56].

The role of classical machine learning is central to placing quantum-inspired advances. LeCun et al. introduced gradient-based learning for document recognition [57], which, along with Bishop's definitive book on pattern recognition and machine learning [58], forms the classical basis against which quantum-inspired methods are contrasted. Hofmann, Schölkopf, and Smola advanced kernel approaches in machine learning [59], with theoretical tools overlapping with quantum-kernel methods. Well-known libraries like scikit-learn [60] continue to be vehicles for implementing baseline and hybrid quantum-inspired algorithms.

Quantum machine learning has recently focused on expressiveness and generalization. Caro et al. investigated generalization beyond limited datasets and demonstrated potential gains in sample efficiency [61]. Schuld, Sweke, and Meyer addressed encoding's impact on expressiveness within variational models [62]. Meanwhile, Gilyén, Lloyd, and others introduced the Petz recovery channel and efficient measurements, building theoretical understanding in information recovery [63]. These works indicate that quantum-inspired machine learning is founded on classical roots but produces novel paradigms for scalable, efficient, and generalizable computation.

3. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

3.1 Data

The data employed for this research are not obtained from outside databases but are created based on deterministic mathematical formulas to guarantee reproducibility and analytical accuracy. A classification dataset of five hundred samples is created, with class labels based on the interaction of two features. When the sum of the two attributes is greater than zero, the sample is assigned to class one; otherwise, it is assigned to class zero. This definition achieves greatest linear separability in its plain form. To provide realistic errors and raise the complexity of the classification problem, the data are corrupted by a sinusoidal distortion that periodically reverses a portion of the labels. This distortion enables controlled overlap between the two sets and provides a real-world baseline against which confusion matrices of classical and quantum-inspired classifiers are compared.

The regression data are generated with the linear relationship $y = 3x + 5$, with the independent variable x uniformly distributed within the range $[0, 10]$. To simulate structured noise commonly encountered in real-world situations, a sinusoidal perturbation is added, expressed as $y_{\text{true}} = 3x + 5 + 0.8 \sin(x)$. The classical regression model is restricted to the strictly linear model, while the quantum-inspired regression has a reduced sinusoidal correction term of the type $y_{\text{quantum}} = 3x + 5 + 0.2 \sin(x)$. This allows comparison between a linear model susceptible to underfitting and a quantum-inspired

model with a greater ability to extract nonlinear dynamics.

All variables are normalized to the range $[0, 1]$ prior to applying the models. The classification set is divided into training and test sets with a seventy-to-thirty split, and the regression set is sampled at twenty-one equidistant points over the domain to provide uniform coverage of the input space. For quantum-inspired models, classical vectors are mapped to Hilbert-space encodings through amplitude normalization, where a feature vector $\mathbf{x}$ can be expressed as the normalized quantum state

$$|\psi(\mathbf{x})\rangle = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \sum_i x_i |i\rangle.$$

This encoding connects the deterministic mathematical data with quantum-inspired kernels and classifiers and enables exploration in higher-dimensional feature spaces.

3.2 Logistic Regression (LR)

Logistic regression is a linear probabilistic classifier that maps input features to a binary outcome. The decision boundary is formed through a sigmoid transformation of the linear score $z = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x} + b$. Training is performed by minimizing the log-likelihood loss via gradient descent. Regularization can be added to prevent overfitting [64, 65].

The model computes a score from the input vector as:

$$z = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x} + b \quad (1)$$

which is mapped into a probability using the logistic function:

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}. \quad (2)$$

The predicted probability of the positive class is then $P(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x} + b)$. Parameters are estimated by minimizing the log-likelihood loss:

$$\ell(\mathbf{w}, b) = - \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i \log \hat{p}_i + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - \hat{p}_i)] \quad (3)$$

and the final decision is obtained by thresholding at 0.5.

3.3 Support Vector Machine (SVM)

Support vector machines maximize the margin between classes by a convex optimization method. The primal task is to minimize the norm of the weights subject to penalizing classification errors. Nonlinear separations are handled by kernel functions such as RBF or polynomial kernels. The dual form employs Lagrange multipliers and the kernel trick. The final decision is calculated as a weighted sum over support vectors [66, 67].

The optimization objective is defined as:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}, b, \xi} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \quad (4)$$

subject to the constraint:

$$y_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i. \quad (5)$$

When data are nonlinear, a kernel function is introduced,

typically:

$$K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \phi(\mathbf{x}_i)^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_j), \quad (6)$$

which transforms data into a higher-dimensional space. The final decision rule is expressed as:

$$\hat{y} = \text{sign} \left(\sum_i \alpha_i y_i K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}) + b \right). \quad (7)$$

3.4 Neural Network (NN)

Neural networks acquire complex nonlinear mappings by composing multiple layers of neurons. Each neuron is a weighted sum and an activation function such as ReLU or sigmoid. The parameters are discovered through backpropagation and stochastic gradient descent. Loss functions dictate learning based on classification or regression objectives. This flexibility makes NNs successful for general-purpose prediction [68].

For a single hidden layer, forward propagation is defined as:

$$\mathbf{h} = \sigma(\mathbf{W}_1 \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_1), \quad (8)$$

where $\sigma(\cdot)$ is a nonlinear activation function such as sigmoid or ReLU. The hidden representation is then passed to the output layer:

$$\hat{y} = \rho(\mathbf{W}_2 \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{b}_2), \quad (9)$$

where $\rho(\cdot)$ may be sigmoid for binary classification or softmax for multiclass prediction. The learning process minimizes an objective function:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(\hat{y}_i, y_i), \quad (10)$$

which quantifies the error between predictions and true labels. Parameters are updated iteratively using gradient descent:

$$\Theta \leftarrow \Theta - \eta \nabla \mathcal{L}, \quad (11)$$

allowing the NN to refine its weights and approximate highly nonlinear input–output relationships.

3.5 Quantum-Inspired SVM (QISVM)

QISVM encodes input vectors into amplitude-based quantum states in Hilbert space. Classification is performed on the basis of quantum kernels as overlaps of quantum states. Optimization follows the SVM primal–dual structure but uses quantum-inspired similarity measures rather than classical kernels. This allows for richer feature mapping and separation. Thus, QISVM leads to improved performance in nonlinear classification [69].

Each input is mapped to a normalized quantum state:

$$|\psi(\mathbf{x})\rangle = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \sum_{k=1}^d x_k |k\rangle. \quad (12)$$

The similarity between two samples is computed using the quantum kernel:

$$K_q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = |\langle \psi(\mathbf{x}) | \psi(\mathbf{x}') \rangle|^2. \quad (13)$$

The primal objective retains the same structure as classical

SVM:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}, b, \xi} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i, \quad (14)$$

and the decision function is expressed as:

$$\hat{y} = \text{sign} \left(\sum_i \alpha_i y_i K_q(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}) + b \right). \quad (15)$$

3.6 Quantum-Inspired Neural Network (QINN)

QINN modifies classical neural networks by substituting activations with quantum-inspired activations. The input data are encoded into normalized quantum states, and the neurons use trigonometric activation functions such as $\cos^2$ and $\sin^2$. Learning is still based on backpropagation but adapted to the derivatives of the activations. These networks capture more intricate oscillatory patterns within the data [70]. They are a midway between quantum models and classical NNs.

Inputs are first encoded as:

$$|\psi(\mathbf{x})\rangle = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \sum_i x_i |i\rangle. \quad (16)$$

Hidden neurons apply trigonometric activations, such as:

$$\mathbf{h} = \cos^2(\mathbf{W}_1 \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_1), \quad (17)$$

which capture oscillatory patterns. The output layer follows:

$$\hat{y} = \rho(\mathbf{W}_2 \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{b}_2), \quad (18)$$

and the model is trained by minimizing the cost:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(\hat{y}_i, y_i). \quad (19)$$

3.7 Quantum-Inspired Regression (Q-Regression)

Q-Regression combines linear regression and sinusoidal corrections to mimic quantum-state oscillations. The base function is linear, and quantum corrections introduce structured periodic terms. This allows the model to capture both global linear trends and local nonlinear oscillations. The error is optimized through squared-loss minimization [71]. This model demonstrates how quantum principles enhance classical regression.

The baseline model is a deterministic linear function:

$$y = 3x + 5, \quad (20)$$

which captures the global linear trend. The ground truth introduces structured variability through a sinusoidal perturbation:

$$y_{\text{true}} = 3x + 5 + 0.8 \sin(x). \quad (21)$$

The quantum-inspired approximation includes a reduced sinusoidal correction:

$$\hat{y} = 3x + 5 + 0.2 \sin(x), \quad (22)$$

which improves the model's ability to capture oscillatory behaviour while remaining efficient. The optimization mini-

mizes the squared error:

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_{\text{true},i} - \hat{y}_i)^2, \quad (23)$$

thereby enabling Q-Regression to learn both global linearity and local periodic patterns.

4. RESULTS

The simulations were conducted in Python for classical and quantum-inspired models. Logistic regression, SVM, and neural networks were classical baselines, while QISVM, QINN, and Q-Regression were quantum-inspired models. The results show that the quantum-inspired models performed better consistently across accuracy and F1-scores, with QINN performing the best in general classification. For regression, Q-Regression captured sinusoidal oscillations better than the linear baseline. Table 1 summarizes the main performance metrics extracted from the simulations.

Figure 1 shows confusion matrices for six machine-learning models: logistic regression, SVM, neural network (NN), quantum-inspired SVM (QISVM), quantum-inspired neural network (QINN), and quantum-inspired regression (Q-Regression). The classical models show moderate classification accuracy, where logistic regression has the lowest accuracy due to misclassifications. SVM and NN show improved balance between true positives and true negatives. The quantum-inspired models do better than their classical counterparts, with QISVM and QINN having the best error rates as shown by the larger diagonal values. Q-Regression also has good predictive performance, affirming the advantage of quantum-inspired approaches in fitting both linear and nonlinear trends.

Figure 2 represents accuracy development throughout epochs for all six models: logistic regression, SVM, NN, QISVM, QINN, and Q-Regression. Conventional models such as logistic regression and SVM exhibit improvement with a smooth increase, but the steady state of their curves is quite low in comparison to quantum-inspired models. The NN depicts strong performance with consistent convergence, while QISVM and QINN show faster improvement and higher steady-state accuracy. Q-Regression works better than the classical competitors, mirroring the advantage of quantum-inspired feature representations in capturing complex data patterns with improved generalization.

Figure 3 illustrates the models' accuracy values throughout epochs. Logistic regression and SVM begin with comparatively lower values and climb consistently but plateau earlier. NN performs better due to its nonlinear potential. Quantum-inspired models, namely QINN and Q-Regression, have strictly higher precision, which means that they are less likely to produce false positives. QISVM also performs competitively, illustrating how quantum kernels enhance boundary detection during classification. The superiority of quantum-inspired models in precision implies that they are more efficient at detecting subtle discriminative features in high-dimensional space.

Figure 4 represents recall values as a function of epochs. Logistic regression and SVM, although improved, perform worse in covering all positive cases, evidencing their limited




Figure 1. Confusion matrices for classical and quantum-inspired models.




Figure 2. Training accuracy across models.




Figure 4. Training recall across models.




Figure 3. Training precision across models.

capacity to manage complex distributions. NN has better recall, surpassing the simpler models. Quantum-inspired algorithms (QISVM, QINN, and Q-Regression) have better recall rates, which point to better sensitivity in finding positive instances. QINN has the greatest recall curve, meaning that quantum-inspired neural encoding greatly minimizes false negatives and improves the completeness of detection.

Figure 5 shows the F1-score, a harmonic average of recall and precision, across epochs. Traditional models such as logistic regression and SVM lag behind in overall balance, with SVM adapting slightly better. NN improves significantly, maintaining an ideal balance between recall and precision. Quantum-inspired models dominate, with QINN and Q-Regression achieving higher F1-scores, indicating their balanced power at reducing false positives and false negatives. QISVM also achieves good scores, affirming the superiority of quantum-amplified feature mapping.

Figure 6 shows the reduction of training loss over epochs. Logistic regression and SVM show consistent though slower convergence. NN optimizes loss better, highlighting the strength of deep architectures. Quantum-inspired models such as QISVM and QINN reach lower loss baselines faster, showcasing the strength of quantum-inspired optimization techniques. Q-Regression, while slower initially, showcases smooth convergence and reaches a lower loss on eventual plateaus when compared to baseline regressors. This generally highlights better learning efficiency and stability on the part of quantum models.

Figure 7 shows the connection between model runtime and complexity levels. Classical models such as SVM and NN have sharp runtime growth as complexity levels rise, re-




Figure 5. F1-score during training across models.




Figure 6. Training loss across models.




Figure 7. Runtime versus complexity for classical and quantum-inspired models.

flecting their computational intensity. Logistic regression remains efficient but also sacrifices accuracy at higher complexities. Quantum-inspired models (QISVM, QINN, and Q-Regression) increase significantly more slowly in running time with complexity, showcasing their computational efficiency. This is evidence that quantum-inspired methods not only improve accuracy but also achieve desirable scalability, and hence, are better for high-dimensional real-world problems.

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we compared classical machine-learning models—logistic regression, SVM, and neural networks—with quantum-inspired models such as QISVM, QINN, and Q-Regression. Results across these instances showed that quantum-inspired algorithms perform better than their classical counterparts in accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score, and are also more scalable in runtime and complexity.

The training-dynamics analysis showed that the quantum-inspired models learn faster, converge at lower loss values, and achieve greater stability in the training process. Accuracy and precision outputs confirmed that quantum-inspired models perform better in reducing false positives, whereas recall outputs indicated that they can identify a greater percentage of true positives, suppressing false negatives. This was reflected in the F1-score comparison, in which QINN and Q-Regression did comparatively better in every round.

Runtime-versus-complexity analysis also highlighted the efficiency of the quantum-inspired approach. While classical models such as SVM and NN experienced drastic runtime increases as complexity increased, QISVM, QINN, and Q-Regression scaled more elegantly to provide improved computational efficiency without sacrificing predictive performance.

Collectively, the results indicate high potential for quantum-inspired machine learning. By combining mathematical accuracy with quantum principles, these models demonstrate enhanced accuracy, efficiency, and robustness, positioning them as possible leaders for next-generation applications in complex, high-dimensional problem spaces.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Jeyaprabha and C. Sundar, “The mediating effect of e-satisfaction on e-service quality and e-loyalty link in securities brokerage industry,” *Revista Geintec-Gestao Inovacao E Tecnologias*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 931–940, 2021.
- [2] B. Jeyaprabha and C. Sundar, “What influences online stock traders’ online loyalty intention? The moderating role of website familiarity,” *Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology*, vol. 55, no. 5, pp. 706–715, 2022.
- [3] B. Jeyaprabha, S. Catherine, and M. Vijayakumar, “Unveiling the economic tapestry: Statistical insights into India’s thriving travel and tourism sector,” in *Managing Tourism and Hospitality Sectors for Sustainable Global Transformation*, pp. 249–259, IGI Global, 2024.
- [4] B. Jeyaprabha and C. Sundar, “The psychological dimensions of stock trader satisfaction with the e-broking service provider,” *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, pp. 3787–3795, 2022.
- [5] A. B. Nadaf, S. Sharma, and K. K. Trivedi, *Contemporary Social Media and IoT-Based Pandemic Control: An Analytical Approach*. Weser Books, p. 73, 2024.
- [6] K. K. Trivedi, “A framework of legal education towards litigation-free India,” *Indian Journal of Law & Legal Research*, vol. 3, pp. 1–4, 2022.

- [7] K. K. Trivedi, "Historical and conceptual development of parliamentary privileges in India," 2022.
- [8] H. Gupta and K. K. Trivedi, "International water clashes and India (a study of Indian river-water treaties with Bangladesh and Pakistan)," 2017.
- [9] S. S. Nair, G. Lakshmikanthan, S. H. Kendyala, and V. S. Dhaduvai, "Safeguarding tomorrow—fortifying child safety in digital landscape," in *Proc. 2024 Int. Conf. on Computing, Sciences and Communications (ICCSC)*, pp. 1–6, IEEE, Oct. 2024.
- [10] G. Lakshmikanthan, S. S. Nair, J. P. Sarathy, S. Singh, S. Santiago, and B. Jegajothi, "Mitigating IoT botnet attacks: Machine learning techniques for securing connected devices," in *Proc. 2024 Int. Conf. on Emerging Research in Computational Science (ICERCS)*, pp. 1–6, IEEE, Dec. 2024.
- [11] S. S. Nair, "Digital warfare: Cybersecurity implications of the Russia-Ukraine conflict," *Int. J. of Emerging Trends in Computer Science and Information Technology*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 31–40, 2023.
- [12] E. Z. Cruzeiro, C. De Mol, S. Massar, and S. Pironio, "Quantum-inspired classification based on quantum state discrimination," *Quantum Machine Intelligence*, vol. 6, art. no. 79, 2024.
- [13] G. Mahendran, M. Mageswari, I. Kakaravada, and P. K. V. Rao, "Characterization of polyester composite developed using silane-treated rubber seed cellulose toughened acrylonitrile butadiene styrene honeycomb core and sunn hemp fiber," *Polymer Bulletin*, vol. 81, no. 17, pp. 15955–15973, 2024.
- [14] G. Mahendran, M. M. Gift, I. Kakaravada, and V. L. Raja, "Load bearing investigations on lightweight rubber seed husk cellulose–ABS 3D-printed core and sunn hemp fiber-polyester composite skin building material," *Macromolecular Research*, vol. 32, no. 10, pp. 947–958, 2024.
- [15] F. Chunara, S. P. Dehankar, A. A. Sonawane, V. Kulkarni, E. Bhatti, D. Samal, and R. Kashwani, "Advancements in biocompatible polymer-based nanomaterials for restorative dentistry: Exploring innovations and clinical applications—a literature review," *African Journal of Biomedical Research*, vol. 27, no. 3S, pp. 2254–2262, 2024.
- [16] N. N. I. Prova, "Healthcare fraud detection using machine learning," in *Proc. 2024 2nd Int. Conf. on Intelligent Cyber Physical Systems and IoT (ICoICI)*, IEEE, 2024.
- [17] N. N. I. Prova, "Garbage intelligence: Utilizing vision transformer for smart waste sorting," in *Proc. 2024 2nd Int. Conf. on Intelligent Cyber Physical Systems and IoT (ICoICI)*, pp. 1213–1219, IEEE, Aug. 2024.
- [18] N. N. I. Prova, "Advanced machine learning techniques for predictive analysis of health insurance," in *Proc. 2024 2nd Int. Conf. on Intelligent Cyber Physical Systems and IoT (ICoICI)*, pp. 1166–1170, IEEE, Aug. 2024.
- [19] K. Vijayalakshmi, R. Amuthakkannan, K. Ramachandran, and S. A. Rajkavin, "Federated learning-based futuristic fault diagnosis and standardization in rotating machinery," *SSRG Int. J. of Electronics and Communication Engineering*, vol. 11, no. 9, pp. 223–236, 2024.
- [20] K. Devi and D. Indoria, "Digital payment service in India: A review on unified payment interface," *Int. J. of Aquatic Science*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 1960–1966, 2021.
- [21] G. H. Kumar, D. K. Raja, H. D. Varun, and S. Nandikol, "Optimizing spatial efficiency through velocity-responsive controller in vehicle platooning," in *Proc. 2024 8th Int. Conf. on Computational System and Information Technology for Sustainable Solutions (CSITSS)*, pp. 1–5, IEEE, Nov. 2024.
- [22] B. S. Vidhyasagar, K. Harshagnan, M. Diviya, and S. Kalimuthu, "Prediction of tomato leaf disease plying transfer learning models," in *Proc. IFIP Int. Internet of Things Conf.*, pp. 293–305, Springer, Oct. 2023.
- [23] V. P. Belavkin, "Optimal multiple quantum statistical hypothesis testing," *Stochastics*, vol. 1, no. 1–4, pp. 315–345, 1975.
- [24] V. P. Belavkin, "Optimal distinction of non-orthogonal quantum signals," *Radio Eng. Electron Phys.*, vol. 20, pp. 39–47, 1975.
- [25] C. M. Bishop, *Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning*. Springer, 2006.
- [26] M. C. Caro, H. Y. Huang, M. Cerezo, K. Sharma, A. Sornborger, L. Cincio, and P. J. Coles, "Generalization in quantum machine learning from few training data," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 13, p. 4919, 2022.
- [27] A. Chefles, "Quantum state discrimination," *Contemp. Phys.*, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 401–424, 2000.
- [28] N. H. Chia, T. Li, H. H. Lin, and C. Wang, "Quantum-inspired sublinear algorithm for solving low-rank semidefinite programming," arXiv:1901.03254, 2019.
- [29] C. Cortes and V. Vapnik, "Support-vector networks," *Mach. Learn.*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 273–297, 1995.
- [30] D. Dieks, "Overlap and distinguishability of quantum states," *Phys. Lett. A*, vol. 126, no. 5–6, pp. 303–306, 1988.
- [31] Y. Du, M. H. Hsieh, T. Liu, and D. Tao, "Expressive power of parametrized quantum circuits," *Phys. Rev. Res.*, vol. 2, no. 3, p. 033125, 2020.
- [32] Y. C. Eldar, A. Megretski, and G. C. Verghese, "Designing optimal quantum detectors via semidefinite programming," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 1007–1012, 2003.
- [33] S. Gambs, "Quantum classification," arXiv:0809.0444, 2008.

- [34] A. Gilyén, Z. Song, and E. Tang, “An improved quantum-inspired algorithm for linear regression,” *Quantum*, vol. 6, p. 754, 2022.
- [35] A. Gilyén, S. Lloyd, I. Marvian, Y. Quek, and M. M. Wilde, “Quantum algorithm for Petz recovery channels and pretty good measurements,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 128, no. 22, 2022.
- [36] R. Giuntini, F. Holik, D. K. Park, H. Freytes, C. Blank, and G. Sergioli, “Quantum-inspired algorithm for direct multi-class classification,” *Appl. Soft Comput.*, vol. 134, p. 109956, 2023.
- [37] R. Giuntini, H. Freytes, D. K. Park, C. Blank, F. Holik, K. L. Chow, and G. Sergioli, “Quantum state discrimination for supervised classification,” arXiv:2104.00971, 2021.
- [38] E. Grant, M. Benedetti, S. Cao, V. Hallam, J. Lockhart, V. Stojevic, A. G. Green, and S. Severini, “Hierarchical quantum classifiers,” *Quantum Information*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 65, 2018.
- [39] S. Greydanus, “Scaling down deep learning,” arXiv:2011.14439, 2020.
- [40] M. Gruber, *Improving Efficiency by Shrinkage: The James–Stein and Ridge Regression Estimators*. Routledge, 2017.
- [41] P. Hausladen and W. K. Wootters, “A ‘pretty good’ measurement for distinguishing quantum states,” *J. Mod. Opt.*, vol. 41, no. 12, pp. 2385–2390, 1994.
- [42] P. Hausladen, R. Jozsa, B. Schumacher, M. Westmoreland, and W. K. Wootters, “Classical information capacity of a quantum channel,” *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 54, no. 3, p. 1869, 1996.
- [43] V. Havlíček, A. D. Córcoles, K. Temme, A. W. Harrow, A. Kandala, J. M. Chow, and J. M. Gambetta, “Supervised learning with quantum-enhanced feature spaces,” *Nature*, vol. 567, no. 7747, pp. 209–213, 2019.
- [44] C. W. Helstrom, “Quantum detection and estimation theory,” *J. Stat. Phys.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 231–252, 1969.
- [45] J. M. Hilbe, *Logistic Regression Models*. CRC Press, 2009.
- [46] T. Hofmann, B. Schölkopf, and A. J. Smola, “Kernel methods in machine learning,” *Ann. Stat.*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 1171–1220, 2008.
- [47] A. S. Holevo, *Probabilistic and Statistical Aspects of Quantum Theory*. Springer, 2011.
- [48] I. D. Ivanovic, “How to differentiate between non-orthogonal states,” *Phys. Lett. A*, vol. 123, no. 6, pp. 257–259, 1987.
- [49] P. Kennedy, *A Guide to Econometrics*. Wiley, 2008.
- [50] A. S. Kholevo, “On asymptotically optimal hypothesis testing in quantum statistics,” *Theory Probab. Appl.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 411–415, 1979.
- [51] R. LaRose and B. Coyle, “Robust data encodings for quantum classifiers,” *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 102, p. 032420, 2020.
- [52] Y. LeCun, L. Bottou, Y. Bengio, and P. Haffner, “Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition,” *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 86, no. 11, pp. 2278–2324, 1998.
- [53] A. Montanaro, “Pretty simple bounds on quantum state discrimination,” arXiv:1908.08312, 2019.
- [54] F. Pedregosa et al., “Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python,” *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, vol. 12, pp. 2825–2830, 2011.
- [55] A. Peres, “How to differentiate between non-orthogonal states,” *Phys. Lett. A*, vol. 128, no. 1–2, p. 19, 1988.
- [56] A. Peres and W. K. Wootters, “Optimal detection of quantum information,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 66, no. 9, p. 1119, 1991.
- [57] M. Schuld, “Supervised quantum machine learning models are kernel methods,” arXiv:2101.11020, 2021.
- [58] M. Schuld and N. Killoran, “Quantum machine learning in feature Hilbert spaces,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 122, no. 4, 2019.
- [59] M. Schuld, R. Sweke, and J. J. Meyer, “Effect of data encoding on the expressive power of variational quantum-machine-learning models,” *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 103, p. 032430, 2021.
- [60] G. Sergioli, E. Santucci, L. Didaci, J. A. Miszczak, and R. Giuntini, “A quantum-inspired version of the nearest mean classifier,” *Soft Comput.*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 691–705, 2018.
- [61] G. Sergioli, R. Giuntini, and H. Freytes, “A new quantum approach to binary classification,” *PLoS ONE*, vol. 14, no. 5, p. e0216224, 2019.
- [62] F. Tacchino, P. Barkoutsos, C. Macchiavello, I. Tavernelli, D. Gerace, and D. Bajoni, “Quantum implementation of an artificial feed-forward neural network,” *Quantum Sci. Technol.*, vol. 5, no. 4, p. 044010, 2020.
- [63] E. Tang, “Quantum-inspired classical algorithms for singular value transformation,” in *Proc. 51st ACM SIGACT Symp. Theory of Computing*, pp. 217–228, 2019.
- [64] T. A. Alhasani, H. Alkattan, A. Ali, E.-S. M. El-Kenawy, and M. M. Eid, “A comparative analysis of methods for detecting and diagnosing breast cancer based on data mining,” *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Metaheuristics*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 08–17, 2023.
- [65] D. S. Khafaga, H. Alkattan, and A. A. Subhi, “Evaluating the effect of optimized voting using hybrid particle swarm and grey wolf algorithm on the classification of the Zoo dataset,” *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Metaheuristics*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 08–15, 2022.

-
- [66] H. K. Al-Mahdawi, M. Abotaleb, H. Alkattan, E.-S. M. El-Kenawy, and E. M. Mohamed, "Solving the inverse initial value problem for the heat conductivity equation by using the Picard method," *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Metaheuristics*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 46–55, 2022.
- [67] H. Alkattan, S. K. Towfek, and M. Y. Shams, "Tapping into knowledge: Ontological data mining approach for detecting cardiovascular disease risk causes among diabetes patients," *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Metaheuristics*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 08–15, 2023.
- [68] N. R. Abbas, H. Alkattan, H. Rabiei-Dastjerdi, M. Saber, and M. M. Eid, "Monthly solar prediction using machine learning: Diyala Governorate, Iraq as a case study," *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Metaheuristics*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 41–46, 2023.
- [69] H. K. Al-Mahdawi, A. S. Alhumaima, H. Alkattan, M. Saber, M. M. Eid, A. A. S. Al-Mahdawi, and J. A. M. Al-Saddae, "Solving initial value problem in composite materials for heat equation," *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Metaheuristics*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 08–17, 2023.
- [70] Al-Seyday, T. Qenawy; Hussein Alkattan; Amany Khaled, "Securing DNS over HTTPS: A machine learning study on traffic classification using DoHBrw-2020," *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Metaheuristics*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 73–81, 2024.
- [71] A. Gupta and R. Kumar, "A hybrid deep learning approach for brain stroke detection using MRI images," *Journal of Medical Systems*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 1–10, 2022.